arXiv:1205.0236v2 [math.CA] 11 Feb 2013

THE THEORY OF HAHN MEROMORPHIC FUNCTIONS, A HOLOMORPHIC FREDHOLM THEOREM AND ITS APPLICATIONS

JÖRN MÜLLER AND ALEXANDER STROHMAIER

Abstract. We introduce a class of functions near zero on the logarithmic cover of the complex plane that have convergent expansions into generalized power series. The construction covers cases where non-integer powers of z and also terms containing $\log z$ can appear. We show that under natural assumptions some important theorems from complex analysis carry over to the class of these functions. In particular it is possible to define a field of functions that generalize meromorphic functions and one can formulate an analytic Fredholm theorem in this class. We show that this modified analytic Fredholm theorem can be used in spectral theory to prove convergent expansions of the resolvent for Bessel type operators and Laplace-Beltrami operators for manifolds that are Euclidean at infinity.

1. Introduction

Asymptotic expansions of the form

$$f(z) \sim \sum_{k,m} a_{k,m} z^{\alpha_k} (-\log(z))^{\beta_m}, \quad \text{as} \quad z \to 0$$

with non-integer α_k or β_m for functions f defined in some sector centered at 0 in the complex plane appear quite frequently in mathematics and mathematical physics. Classical examples are solutions for differential equations (e.g. in Frobenius' method) or expansions of algebraic functions at singularities. More recently it was shown that low energy resolvent expansions in scattering problems are of this form (see e.g. [6], [7] for Schrödinger operators in $\mathbb{R}^n$, [9] for operators with constant leading coefficients in $\mathbb{R}^n$ and [2] for the case of the Laplace operator on a general manifold with a conical end). The resolvent expansion for $|\lambda| \to \infty$ of cone degenerate differential operators leads to similar asymptotics, see e.g. [1].

The algebraic theory of generalized power series is well developed and can be found in the literature under the name Hahn series or Mal'cev-Neumann series. In this paper we are concerned with the analytic theory of such generalized power series, namely we will define a ring of functions, the Hahn holomorphic functions, that have convergent expansions into generalized power series, and we will show that this ring is actually a division ring. We show that the quotient field, the field of Hahn-meromorphic functions, has a nice description in terms of Hahn series and we generalize the notions of Hahn-holomorphic and Hahn-meromorphic functions to the operator valued case. The theory turns out to be very close to the case of analytic function theory. In particular one of our main theorems states that an analog of the analytic Fredholm theorem holds in the class of Hahn holomorphic functions.

The holomorphic Fredholm theorem plays an important role in scattering theory as a tool to prove the existence of a meromorphic continuation of resolvent kernels and subsequently in the construction of an analytic continuation of the scattering matrix. For us this was one of the primary motivations for this article. Our theorem implies for example that the Hahn meromorphic properties of the resolvent of the Laplace operator on a Riemannian manifold are stable under perturbations of the topology and the metric that are supported in compact regions. Unlike the standard theory our theorem also applies to situations when log terms or non-rational exponents in the low energy expansion of the unperturbed resolvent are present.

The article is organized as follows. Section 2 deals with the definition and the general theory of Hahn holomorphic functions and some of their basic properties. In section 3 we define Hahn meromorphic functions and in section 4 we prove our generalization of the meromorphic Fredholm theorem in the framework of Hahn holomorphic functions. Sections 5 and 6 deal with two important examples of convergent Hahn series: those that can be expanded into real powers of z and those that have such expansions with additional $\log(z)$-terms. The theory has a nice application: convergent resolvent expansions for Bessel type operators and Laplace-Beltrami operators on manifolds that are Euclidean at infinity can be shown to be simple consequences of the Hahn holomorphic Fredholm theorem. These examples are treated in detail in section 7.

2. HAHN HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

Let $(\Gamma, +)$ be a linearly ordered abelian group and let $(G, \cdot)$ be a group. Suppose $e : \Gamma \to G, \gamma \mapsto e_\gamma$ is a group homomorphism, in particular

$$e_0 = \mathbf{1} \in G, \qquad e_{\gamma_1+\gamma_2} = e_{\gamma_1} \cdot e_{\gamma_2} \quad \text{for all} \quad \gamma_1, \gamma_2 \in \Gamma.$$

The following definition and proposition are due to H. Hahn (see [3])

Definition 2.1. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a ring. A formal series*

$$\mathfrak{h} = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} a_\gamma e_\gamma, \qquad a_\gamma \in \mathcal{R}$$

is called a Hahn-series*, if the support of $\mathfrak{h}$,*

$$\operatorname{supp}(\mathfrak{h}) := \{g \in \Gamma \mid a_g \neq 0 \in \mathcal{R}\},$$

is a well-ordered subset of Γ. The set of Hahn-series will be denoted by $\mathcal{R}[[e_\Gamma]]$.

Proposition 2.2. *The set of Hahn series $\mathcal{R}[[e_\Gamma]]$ is a ring with multiplication*

$$\Big(\sum_{\alpha\in\Gamma} a_\alpha e_\alpha\Big)\Big(\sum_{\beta\in\Gamma} b_\beta e_\beta\Big) = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} c_\gamma e_\gamma, \qquad c_\gamma := \sum_{\substack{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Gamma\times\Gamma \\ \alpha+\beta=\gamma}} a_\alpha b_\beta \tag{1}$$

and addition

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Gamma} a_\alpha e_\alpha + \sum_{\beta\in\Gamma} b_\beta e_\beta = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma}(a_\gamma + b_\gamma)e_\gamma$$

If $\mathcal{R}$ is a field, then $\mathcal{R}[[e_\Gamma]]$ is a field.

If the support of $\mathfrak{h}$ is contained in $\Gamma^+ = \{\gamma \mid \gamma > 0\}$ then it is well known that $\mathbf{1} - \mathfrak{h}$ is invertible in $\mathcal{R}[[e_\Gamma]]$ and its inverse is given by the Neumann series

$$(\mathbf{1} - \mathfrak{h})^{-1} = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{h}^k.$$

This is due to the fact that for any well-ordered subset W of Γ^+ the semi-group generated by W is also well-ordered, see e.g. [11], Lemma 2.10. Here convergence of a sequence $(\mathfrak{p}_n) \subset \mathcal{R}[[e_\Gamma]]$ to $\mathfrak{p} \in \mathcal{R}[[e_\Gamma]]$ is understood in the sense that for every element $\alpha \in \Gamma$ there exists an $N > 0$ such that for all $n > N$ the coefficients of e_α in $\mathfrak{p}$ and $\mathfrak{p}_n$ are equal.

In the following let Z be the logarithmic covering surface of the complex plane without the origin. We will use polar coordinates (r, φ) as global coordinates to identify Z as a set with $\mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R}$. Adding a single point $\{0\}$ to Z we obtain a set Z_0 and a projection map $\pi : Z_0 \to \mathbb{C}$ by extending the covering map $Z \to \mathbb{C}\backslash\{0\}$ in sending $0 \in Z_0$ to $0 \in \mathbb{C}$. We endow Z with the covering topology and Z_0 with the topology generated by the open sets in Z together with the open discs $D_\epsilon := \{0\} \cup \{(r, \varphi) \mid 0 \le r < \epsilon\}$. This means a sequence $((r_n, \varphi_n))_n$ converges to zero if and only if $r_n \to 0$. The covering map is continuous with respect to this topology. For a point $z \in Z_0$ we denote by $|z|$ its r-coordinate and by $\arg(z)$ its φ coordinate. We will think of the positive real axis as embedded in Z as the subset $\{z \mid \arg(z) = 0\}$. In the following $Y \subset Z$ will always denote an open subset containing an open interval $(0, \delta)$ for some $\delta > 0$ and such that $0 \notin Y$. The set Y_0 will denote $Y \cup \{0\}$. In the applications we have in mind the set Y is typically of the form $D_\delta^{[\sigma]}\backslash\{0\}$ where $D_\delta^{[\sigma]} = \{z \in Z_0 \mid 0 \le |z| < \delta,\ |\varphi| < \sigma\}$. For the discussion and the general theorems it is not necessary to restrict ourselves to this case.

In the remaining part of this article we assume that $G := \big(\mathrm{Hol}(Y \cap D_\epsilon), \cdot\big)^\times$ is a set of non-vanishing holomorphic functions and that the group homomorphism e satisfies the condition

$$\forall \gamma > 0 : e_\gamma \text{ is bounded on } Y \text{ and } \quad \lim_{z\to 0} |e_\gamma(z)| = 0. \tag{E1}$$

Definition 2.3. *Suppose that $\mathcal{R}$ is a vector space with norm $\|.\|$. A Hahn series $\mathfrak{f} = \sum_{\alpha\in\Gamma} a_\alpha e_\alpha$ is called* normally convergent *in $Y \cap D_\epsilon$ if its support is countable and*

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\Gamma} \|a_\alpha\|\, \|e_\alpha\|_{Y,\varepsilon} < \infty,$$

where $\|e_\alpha\|_{Y,\varepsilon} := \sup_{z\in Y\cap D_\epsilon} |e_\alpha(z)|$.

Since a normally convergent series converges absolutely and uniformly, the value of the function

$$f(z) = \sum_{\alpha \in \Gamma} a_\alpha e_\alpha(z), \qquad z \in Y \cap D_\varepsilon$$

does not depend on the order of summation and f is holomorphic in $z \neq 0$.

Definition 2.4. *Let $S \subset \Gamma_0^+ = \Gamma^+ \cup \{0\}$ be a subset of the non-negative group elements.*

- *The family $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in S}$ is called* weakly monotonous*, if there exists an $r_S > 0$ such that for every $x \in (0, r_S)$ there is a* radius *$\rho(x)$ with $0 < \rho(x) \leq x$ and with the property*

$$\alpha \in S \Rightarrow \|e_\alpha\|_{Y,\rho(x)} \leq |e_\alpha(x)|.$$

- *The set S is called* admissible for e *(or simply* admissible*), if $\{e_\alpha\}_{\alpha \in S}$ is weakly monotonous, and if for every $B \subset S$ also the family*

$$\{e_{\alpha - \min B}\}_{\substack{\alpha \in S \\ \alpha > \min B}}$$

is weakly monotonous.

Definition 2.5 (Hahn holomorphic functions)**.** *Suppose that $\mathcal{R}$ is a Banach algebra. A continuous function $h : Y_0 \to \mathcal{R}$ which is holomorphic in Y, is called (Y, Γ)-Hahn holomorphic (or simply* Hahn holomorphic*) if there is a Hahn-series*

$$\mathfrak{h} = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma e_\gamma, \qquad a_\gamma \in \mathcal{R},$$

with countable, admissible support, which converges normally on $Y \cap D_\delta$ for some $\delta > 0$, and

$$h(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma e_\gamma(z), \quad z \in Y \cap D_\delta.$$

We will denote the Hahn series of a Hahn holomorphic function h by the corresponding "fraktur" letter $\mathfrak{h}$. Note that (E1) together with uniform convergence imply that $\operatorname{supp} \mathfrak{h} \subset \Gamma_0^+$ and $h(0) = a_0$. Of course any normally convergent Hahn series with admissible support gives rise to a Hahn holomorphic function.

A direct consequence of the support of Hahn holomorphic functions being admissible is

Lemma 2.6. *Let*

$$h(z) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma} a_\gamma e_\gamma(z), \quad z \in Y \cap D_{2r}.$$

be Hahn holomorphic with $\mathfrak{m} = \min \operatorname{supp}(\mathfrak{h})$. Then

$$e_{-\mathfrak{m}}(z) h(z) = \sum_{\gamma \geq \mathfrak{m}} a_\gamma e_{\gamma - \mathfrak{m}}(z)$$

is Hahn holomorphic.

Proof. Let ρ_1 be the radius for $\{e_\gamma\}$ such that for all $\gamma \in \operatorname{supp}(\mathfrak{h})$

$$\|e_\gamma\|_{\rho_1(r)} \leq |e_\gamma(r)|.$$

and similarly let ρ_2 the radius for $\{e_{\gamma-\mathfrak{m}}\}$. For $\rho(r) = \min\{\rho_1(r), \rho_2(r)\}$,

$$\|e_\mathfrak{m}\|_{\rho(r)} \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \|a_\gamma\| \, \|e_{\gamma-\mathfrak{m}}\|_{\rho(r)} \leq |e_\mathfrak{m}(r)| \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \|a_\gamma\| |e_{\gamma-\mathfrak{m}}(r)| = \sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} \|a_\gamma\| |e_\gamma(r)| < \infty$$

Thus $\sum_{\gamma\in\Gamma} a_\gamma e_{\gamma-\mathfrak{m}}$ converges normally on $D_{\rho(r)}$. ☐

Proposition 2.7. *Let $f : Y \to \mathcal{R}$ be a Hahn holomorphic function represented by a Hahn series $\mathfrak{f}$ on $Y \cap D_\delta$. Suppose the zeros of f accumulate in $Y \cup \{0\}$. Then $f = 0$ and $\mathfrak{f} = 0$. In particular the Hahn series of a Hahn holomorphic function is completely determined by the germ of the function at zero.*

Proof. If the zero set of f has accumulation points in Y then the statement follows from the fact that f is holomorphic in this set. It remains to show that if $f \neq 0$ then 0 can not be an accumulation point of the zero set of f. Let $\mathfrak{f}$ be a Hahn series that represents the function on $Y \cap D_\epsilon$. Let $f \neq 0$, hence $\mathfrak{f} \neq 0$. Let $\mathfrak{m} = \min \operatorname{supp} \mathfrak{f}$. If there is no other element in the support of $\mathfrak{f}$ then $f(z) = a_\mathfrak{m} e_\mathfrak{m}(z)$ and the statement follows from the fact that $e_\mathfrak{m}$ has no zeros in Y. Otherwise, let $\mathfrak{m}_1$ be the smallest element in supp $\mathfrak{f}$ which is larger than $\mathfrak{m}$. Then

$$f(z) = \sum_\alpha a_\alpha e_\alpha(z) = e_\mathfrak{m}(z)\Big(a_\mathfrak{m} + e_{\mathfrak{m}_1-\mathfrak{m}}(z) \sum_{\alpha\geq\mathfrak{m}_1} a_\alpha e_{\alpha-\mathfrak{m}_1}(z)\Big) = e_\mathfrak{m}(z)(a_\mathfrak{m} + h(z))$$

with a Hahn holomorphic function $h(z)$ such that $h(0) = 0$. Since h is continuous and $e_\mathfrak{m}(z) \neq 0$ this shows $f(z) \neq 0$ in a neighborhood of 0. ☐

In the following suppose Y, Γ and the family of functions $(e_\gamma)_{\gamma\in\Gamma}$ is fixed and satisfies (E1).

We want to show that the space of Hahn holomorphic functions at 0 with values in a Banach algebra $\mathcal{R}$ is a ring. To that end we need

Lemma 2.8. *Let $A_1, A_2 \subset \Gamma^+$ be admissible sets. Then the sets $A_1 \cup A_2$, $A_1 + A_2$ and $n \cdot A_1 := A_1 + \ldots + A_1$ (n times), $\bigcup_{n=0}^\infty n \cdot A_1$ are admissible.*

Proof. First we show that $A_1 \cup A_2$, $A_1 + A_2$ and $n \cdot A_1$ are weakly monotonous. Let ρ_i, $i = 1, 2$ be the radius for A_i and $\rho(x) = \min\{\rho_1(x), \rho_2(x)\}$. Then ρ is a radius for $A_1 \cup A_2$ and as well for $A_1 + A_2$, because for $\alpha_i \in A_i$,

$$\begin{aligned}\|e_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}\|_{\rho(r)} &\leq \|e_{\alpha_1}\|_{\rho(r)} \|e_{\alpha_2}\|_{\rho(r)} \leq \|e_{\alpha_1}\|_{\rho_1(r)} \|e_{\alpha_2}\|_{\rho_2(r)} \\ &\leq |e_{\alpha_1}(r)||e_{\alpha_2}(r)| = |e_{\alpha_1+\alpha_2}(r)|\end{aligned}$$

The same argument shows that ρ_1 is a radius for $n \cdot A_1$.

Now let $B \subset A := A_1 + A_2$. Then $B = B_1 + B_2$ for some $B_i \subset A_i, i = 1, 2$ and $\min B = \min B_1 + \min B_2$. Let $\alpha \in A$ with $\alpha = \alpha_1 + \alpha_2, \alpha_i \in A_i$. Let $\rho_i(r)$ be the radius for $\{e_{\alpha_i-\min B_i}\}$ and $\rho=\min\{\rho_1,\rho_2\}$. The estimate

$$\|e_{\alpha-\min B}\|_{\rho(r)}=\|e_{\alpha_1-\min B_1+\alpha_2-\min B_2}\|_{\rho(r)}\leq\|e_{\alpha_1-\min B_1}\|_{\rho_1(r)}\,\|e_{\alpha_2-\min B_2}\|_{\rho_2(r)}$$

shows that A_1+A_2 is admissible. The other statements are proven similarly. □

Let $f(z)=\sum_\alpha a_\alpha e_\alpha$ and $g(z)=\sum_\beta b_\beta e_\beta$ be Hahn holomorphic functions on Y_f and Y_g respectively. First it is easy to see that $f+g$ is Hahn holomorphic on $Y=Y_f\cap Y_g$. Since $\mathfrak{f}$ and $\mathfrak{g}$ are Hahn-series with support contained in Γ_0^+, also $\operatorname{supp}(\mathfrak{f}\cdot\mathfrak{g})\subset\Gamma_0^+$ for the multiplication as defined in (1). From Lemma 2.8 we obtain that the support of $\mathfrak{f}\cdot\mathfrak{g}$ is admissible. We claim that $h(z)=f(z)\cdot g(z)$ is represented by the product of Hahn-series $\mathfrak{h}=\mathfrak{f}\cdot\mathfrak{g}$ on $Y_f\cap Y_g$. Because f and g are normally convergent,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_\gamma\Big\|\sum_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma}a_\alpha b_\beta\Big\|\,\|e_\gamma\|\leq\sum_\gamma\Big(\sum_{\alpha+\beta=\gamma}\|a_\alpha\|\|b_\beta\|\Big)\,\|e_\gamma\|\\ \leq\Big(\sum_\alpha\|a_\alpha\|\,\|e_\alpha\|\Big)\Big(\sum_\beta\|b_\beta\|\,\|e_\beta\|\Big)\end{aligned}$$

so that the series $\mathfrak{f}\cdot\mathfrak{g}$ is normally convergent in $Y_f\cap Y_g$. Thus the series $\mathfrak{f}\cdot\mathfrak{g}$ defines a Hahn holomorphic function on Y with values in $\mathcal{R}$ which equals $h(z)$.

Altogether we have found

Proposition 2.9. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a Banach algebra. The Hahn holomorphic functions with values in $\mathcal{R}$ on Y form a ring under usual addition and multiplication, and the map $\psi_{\mathcal{R}}:f\mapsto\mathfrak{f}$ is a ring isomorphism onto its image in $\mathcal{R}[[e_\gamma]]$.*

Corollary 2.10. *The ring of Hahn holomorphic functions on Y with values in an integral domain $\mathcal{R}$ is an integral domain.*

Proof. By looking at the coefficient c_γ with $\gamma=\min\operatorname{supp}\mathfrak{f}$ in (1), we observe that $\mathcal{R}[[e_\Gamma]]$ is an integral domain, if $\mathcal{R}$ is an integral domain. Because $\psi_{\mathcal{R}}$ is an isomorphism, the Hahn holomorphic functions must be an integral domain. □

Theorem 2.11. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a Banach algebra and suppose $f:Y_0\to\mathcal{R}$ is Hahn holomorphic and $f(z)$ is invertible for all $z\in Y_0$. Then $f(z)^{-1}$ is also Hahn holomorphic on Y_0.*

Proof. Since $1/f$ is holomorphic in Y we only have to show that there is a Hahn series for $f(z)^{-1}$ that converges normally on some $Y_0\cap D_\varepsilon$. Since $f(z)^{-1}=f(0)^{-1}\left(f(z)f(0)^{-1}\right)^{-1}$ we can assume without loss of generality that $f(0)=\mathrm{Id}$. Thus we can write $f(z)=\mathrm{Id}-h(z)$, where $\mathfrak{m}:=\min\operatorname{supp}(\mathfrak{h})>0$. By assumption the series $\mathfrak{h}:=\sum_{\alpha\in\Gamma}a_\alpha e_\alpha$ defining $h(z)$ converges normally on the set $Y_0\cap D_{\delta_0}$ for some $\delta_0>0$. The function $\tilde{h}$ defined by

$$\tilde{h}(t)=\sum_{\alpha\in\Gamma}\|a_\alpha\|\,\|e_\alpha\|_{Y_0,t}\leq\|e_{\mathfrak{m}}\|_{Y_0,t}\sum_{\alpha\geq\mathfrak{m}}\|a_\alpha\|\,\|e_{\alpha-\mathfrak{m}}\|_{Y_0,t}$$

converges to 0 for $t \to 0$ due to (E1) and Lemma 2.6. Therefore we can choose $\delta > 0$ so small that $\tilde{h} := \tilde{h}(\delta) < 1/2$. Because $|h(z)| \le \tilde{h}$ for $z \in Y_0 \cap D_\delta$, the geometric series

$$f(z)^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h(z)^n$$

then converges normally on $Y_0 \cap D_\delta$. But we also know that $\mathfrak{f}$ is invertible:

$$\mathfrak{f}^{-1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathfrak{h}^n =: \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} b_\alpha e_\alpha, \quad \text{with} \quad \operatorname{supp}(\mathfrak{f}^{-1}) \subset \mathcal{S} := \bigcup_{n \ge 0} \operatorname{supp}(\mathfrak{h}^n).$$

From Lemma 2.8 we obtain that $\mathcal{S}$ is admissible. It remains to show that $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} b_\alpha e_\alpha(z)$ is normally convergent on $Y_0 \cap D_\delta$ and represents $f(z)^{-1}$. Note that if $\sum_{n=0}^{N} \mathfrak{h}^n = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} c_\alpha(N) e_\alpha$ then

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} \|c_\alpha(N)\| \, \|e_\alpha\| \le \sum_{n=0}^{N} \tilde{h}^n \quad \text{in} \quad Y_0 \cap D_\delta$$

as a simple consequence of the triangle inequality. For every fixed finite set $A \subset \mathcal{S}$ there exists an $N_A > 0$ such that for all $N \ge N_A$

$$\mathfrak{f}^{-1} - \sum_{n=0}^{N} \mathfrak{h}^n = \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S} \setminus A} (b_\alpha - c_\alpha(N)) e_\alpha$$

has support away from A. In particular $c_\alpha(N) = b_\alpha$ for $\alpha \in A$ and $N \ge N_A$. Therefore for $N > N_A$

$$\sum_{\alpha \in A} \|b_\alpha\| \, \|e_\alpha\| \le \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} \|c_\alpha(N)\| \, \|e_\alpha\| \le \sum_{n=0}^{N} \tilde{h}^n < \frac{1}{1 - \tilde{h}},$$

and this proves convergence since this bound is independent of A. In particular $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} b_\alpha e_\alpha(z)$ converges absolutely in $\mathcal{R}$, hence it converges and the value does not depend on the order of summation. After reordering,

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{S}} b_\alpha e_\alpha(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} h(z)^n = f(z)^{-1}.$$

□

Because of Lemma 2.6, every complex valued Hahn holomorphic f can be inverted: Let $\mathfrak{m} := \min \operatorname{supp}(\mathfrak{f}) \ge 0$, then

$$f^{-1}(z) = a_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1} e_{-\mathfrak{m}}(z) \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(1 - a_{\mathfrak{m}}^{-1} e_{-\mathfrak{m}}(z) f(z)\right)^n$$

Theorem 2.12. *Suppose that $f : Y_0 \to \mathbb{C}$ is a Hahn holomorphic function with Hahn series $\mathfrak{f}$. Suppose that U is an open neighbourhood of $f(0)$ and $h : U \to \mathbb{C}$ is holomorphic. Then $h \circ f$ is Hahn holomorphic on its domain.*

Proof. Since holomorphicity away from zero is obvious it is enough to show that $h \circ f$ has a normally convergent expansion into a Hahn series. Replacing $f(z)$ by $f(z) - f(0)$ and $h(z)$ by $h(z - f(0))$ we can assume without loss of generality that $f(0) = 0$ and thus $\mathrm{supp}(\mathfrak{f}) \subset \Gamma^+$. Since h is holomorphic near $f(0)$ it has a uniformly and absolutely convergent expansion

$$h(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (z - f(0))^k.$$

Thus,

$$h \circ f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k (f(z))^k.$$

Note that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k \, \mathfrak{f}^k$ is a Hahn series. A similar argument as in the proof of Theorem 2.11 shows that this Hahn series is normally convergent and represents $h \circ f(z)$. ☐

3. Hahn meromorphic functions

Definition 3.1. *A meromorphic function $h : Y \to \mathbb{C}$ is called* Hahn meromorphic *if h is represented by a Hahn series $\mathfrak{h}$ in $Y \cap D_\varepsilon$ for some $\varepsilon > 0$ and there exist Hahn holomorphic functions f, $g \neq 0$ on $Y_0 \cap D_\varepsilon$ such that $\mathfrak{h} \cdot \mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{f}$.*

In this sense a Hahn meromorphic function can be written as a quotient $h = f/g$ of Hahn holomorphic functions in a neighborhood of 0.

Remark 3.2. *Since $\mathbb{C}$-valued Hahn holomorphic functions form an integral domain, the Hahn meromorphic functions form a field. More generally let $\mathcal{R}$ be a (commutative) integral domain. From Corollary 2.10 we know that Hahn holomorphic functions with coefficients in $\mathcal{R}$ are a commutative integral domain, so that their quotient field is defined. Furthermore, the map $f \mapsto \mathfrak{f}$ induces an injective morphism from the quotient field of Hahn holomorphic functions to the quotient field $\mathcal{R}((e_\Gamma))$ of Hahn series $\mathcal{R}[[e_\Gamma]]$. Note that $\mathcal{R}((e_\Gamma)) = \mathcal{R}[[e_\Gamma]]$, if $\mathcal{R}$ is a field.*

An important difference with usual meromorphic functions is that Hahn meromorphic functions may have infinitely many negative exponents. For example the function

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} z^{1-1/n}$$

is Hahn holomorphic and therefore

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^2} z^{-1/n-1} = \frac{f(z)}{z^2}$$

is Hahn-meromorphic.

It follows from our analysis for Hahn holomorphic functions that every $\mathbb{C}$-valued Hahn meromorphic function h can be written as

$$h(z) = e_{\min \mathrm{supp}\, \mathfrak{h}}(z) f(z),$$

where f is Hahn holomorphic. Moreover, if $h \neq 0$ then $f(0) \neq 0$. In particular this implies that Hahn meromorphic functions which are bounded on $(0, \delta)$ are Hahn holomorphic in some neighborhood of 0.

We can also define Hahn meromorphic functions with values in a Banach algebra:

Definition 3.3. *Let $\mathcal{R}$ be a Banach algebra. A function $h : Y \to \mathcal{R}$ is called* Hahn meromorphic *if it is meromorphic on Y and there exists a $\delta > 0$ and a non-zero Hahn holomorphic function f on $Y_0 \cap D_\delta$ such that $f(z)h(z)$ is a Hahn holomorphic function on $Y_0 \cap D_\delta$ with values in $\mathcal{R}$.*

Remark 3.4. *Let $R > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$. If there exists one non-zero Hahn holomorphic function on $Y \cap D_R^{[\sigma]}$ one can use the Weierstrass product theorem together with Theorem 2.12 to show that the set of complex valued Hahn meromorphic functions on $Y \cap D_R^{[\sigma]}$ can be identified with the quotient field of the division ring of Hahn holomorphic functions on $Y \cap D_R^{[\sigma]}$.*

4. A Hahn holomorphic Fredholm theorem

Let $\mathcal{H}$ be a complex Hilbert space and denote by $\mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ the space of compact operators on $\mathcal{H}$.

Theorem 4.1. *Suppose $Y_0 \subset Z$ is connected and let $f : Y_0 \to \mathcal{K}(\mathcal{H})$ be Hahn holomorphic. Then either $(\mathrm{Id} - f(z)) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is invertible nowhere in Y_0 or its inverse $(\mathrm{Id} - f(z))^{-1}$ exists everywhere except at a discrete set of points in Y_0 and defines a Hahn meromorphic function. Moreover, all the negative coefficients in its Hahn series are finite rank operators and the residues of the poles away from 0 are finite rank operators, too.*

Proof. The proof generalizes that of Theorem VI.14 of [10]. Let A be a finite rank operator such that $\|f(0) - A\| < 1/2$ and let $\delta > 0$ be such that $\|f(x) - f(0)\| < 1/2$ for all $x \in U^{[\sigma]} := D_\delta^{[\sigma]} \cap Y$. Then $\|f(x) - A\| < 1$ and thus $(\mathrm{Id} - f(x) + A)^{-1}$ exists and is Hahn holomorphic by Theorem 2.11. Consequently $g(x) = A(\mathrm{Id} - f(x) + A)^{-1}$ is a Hahn holomorphic function on $U^{[\sigma]}$ with values in the Banach space $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H}, \mathrm{rg}(A))$. It is easy to see that

$$(\mathrm{Id} - f(x))^{-1} = (\mathrm{Id} - f(x) + A)^{-1}(\mathrm{Id} - g(x))^{-1} \tag{2}$$

where equality means here that the left hand side exists if and only of the right hand side exists. Let now P be the orthogonal projection onto $\mathrm{rg}(A)$ and let $G(x)$ be the endomorphisms of $\mathrm{rg}(A)$ defined by restricting $g(x)$ to $\mathrm{rg}(A)$, i.e. $G(x) = g(x) \circ P$. Invertibility of $(\mathrm{Id} - g(x))$ in $\mathcal{B}(\mathcal{H})$ is equivalent to invertibility of $P(\mathrm{Id} - g(x))P$, and this is equivalent to $\det(\mathrm{Id}_{\mathrm{rg}(A)} - G(x)) \neq 0$. Moreover, a straightforward computation shows

$$(\mathrm{Id} - g(x))^{-1} = (P(\mathrm{Id} - g(x))P)^{-1}\left(P + g(x)(\mathrm{Id} - P)\right) + (\mathrm{Id} - P). \tag{3}$$

Now note that $G(x)$ is a Hahn holomorphic family of endomorphisms of $\mathrm{rg}(A)$. In particular $\det(\mathrm{Id} - G(x))$ is a Hahn holomorphic $\mathbb{C}$-valued function. As such, it is holomorphic in $U^{[\sigma]} \setminus \{0\}$, and together with Proposition 2.7 this shows that the set

$$S = \{z \in U^{[\sigma]} \mid \det(\mathrm{Id} - G(x)) = 0\}$$

is either discrete in $U^{[\sigma]}$ or $S = U^{[\sigma]}$. If $\det(\mathrm{Id} - G(x)) \neq 0$, then after a choice of basis of $\mathrm{rg}(A)$ the inverse $(\mathrm{Id} - G(x))^{-1}$ can be computed with Cramer's rule, showing that with respect to this basis

$$\det(\mathrm{Id} - G(x))(\mathrm{Id} - G(x))^{-1} \in \mathrm{Mat}\big(\dim \mathrm{rg}(A), \mathbb{C}[[e_\Gamma]]\big)$$

is represented by a matrix with Hahn holomorphic entries. After the identification

$$\mathrm{Mat}\big(\dim \mathrm{rg}(A), \mathbb{C}[[e_\Gamma]]\big) = \mathrm{Mat}\big(\dim \mathrm{rg}(A), \mathbb{C}\big)[[e_\Gamma]]$$

we see that the function $(\mathrm{Id}-G(x))^{-1}$ is Hahn meromorphic with coefficients in $\mathrm{End}(\mathrm{rg}(A))$ if there is only a single point in $U^{[\sigma]}$ for which it exists. Consequently, due to (3) and (2), $(\mathrm{Id}-f(x))^{-1}$ is Hahn meromorphic with all negative coefficients being of finite rank, if there is only a single point in $U^{[\sigma]}$ for which $(\mathrm{Id} - f(x))$ is invertible. So far we have proved the statement in $U^{[\sigma]}$. By the usual analytic Fredholm theorem, invertibility of $(\mathrm{Id} - f(x))$ at a single point in Y implies that the inverse exists as a meromorphic function on Y. Conversely, we have seen that invertibility of $(\mathrm{Id}-f(x))$ at a single point in $U^{[\sigma]}$ implies that $(\mathrm{Id} - f(x))^{-1}$ exists as a Hahn meromorphic function on $U^{[\sigma]}$. By the usual holomorphic Fredholm theorem it then exists as a Hahn meromorphic function on Y. ☐

5. z-HAHN HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

The prominent class of Hahn holomorphic functions is defined by convergent power series with non-integer powers.

Let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}$ be a subgroup with order inherited from the standard ordering of $\mathbb{R}$. As the group G we will take the group generated by the set of functions

$$e_\alpha(z) := z^\alpha, \qquad \alpha \in \Gamma, \quad z \in D_r^{[\sigma]} \backslash \{0\}.$$

In this definition we choose the principal branch of the logarithm with $|\operatorname{Im} \log z| < \pi$ for $z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, 0]$ and as usual set $\log(re^{i\varphi}) = \log r + i\varphi$, $|\varphi| < \sigma$ and $z^\alpha := e^{\alpha \log z}$.

A z-Hahn holomorphic function f with values $\mathbb{C}$ then is a holomorphic function on $D_r^{[\sigma]} \backslash \{0\}$ such that the generalized power series

$$f(z) = \sum_\gamma a_\gamma z^\gamma, \qquad a_\gamma \in \mathbb{C}$$

is normally convergent in $Y \cap D_\delta^{[\sigma]}$ for some $\delta > 0$.

Note that every well-ordered subset of $W \subset \Gamma^+$ is admissible for e, because for every $\alpha \in W$,

$$|z^\alpha| = |z|^\alpha \leq |z|^{\min W}, \qquad z \in D_{1/2}^{[\sigma]}. \tag{4}$$

Example 5.1. *If* $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}$ *and* $e_k(z) = z^k$ *then the set of Hahn series corresponds to the formal power series and the set of* z*-Hahn holomorphic functions can be identified with the set of functions that are holomorphic on the disc of radius* $\delta > 0$ *centered at the origin.*

Example 5.2. *The series*

$$z^{\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^{2k}}{(2k)!}$$

converges normally on D_r *for any* $r > 0$ *and defines a* z*-Hahn holomorphic function for* $\Gamma = \pi\mathbb{Z} + 2\mathbb{Z}$.

Example 5.3. *Puiseux series and Levi-Civita series as defined in e.g.* [12] *are special cases of Hahn series with certain* $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Q}$. *In case they are normally convergent they define* z*-Hahn holomorphic functions.*

In the following let $D_R = D_R^{[\infty]} \setminus \{0\}$ be the pointed disk of radius R in the logarithmic covering of the complex plane. The next result is in analogy with complex analysis, where series expansions converge normally on the maximal disc embedded in the domain of holomorphicity:

Theorem 5.4. *Let* $\mathcal{R}$ *be a Banach algebra and suppose* f *is Hahn-holomorphic. Furthermore suppose that* f *is bounded on* $D_{\tilde{R}+\varepsilon}$ *for some* $\varepsilon, \tilde{R} > 0$.

Let

$$f(x) = \sum_{\alpha \in \operatorname{supp} f} a_\alpha x^\alpha$$

be its expansion (which we do not assume to converge normally on $D_{\tilde{R}}$*).*

Then for all R *with* $0 < R < \tilde{R}$:

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \operatorname{supp} f} \|a_\alpha\| R^\alpha \leq \sup_{|x| = \tilde{R}} \|f(x)\| \sum_{\alpha \in \operatorname{supp} f} (R/\tilde{R})^\alpha.$$

In particular, if $\sum_\alpha (R/\tilde{R})^\alpha < \infty$ *then the Hahn-series converges normally on* D_R.

Proof. As a Hahn holomorphic function, f converges normally on $D_{2\delta}$ for some $\delta > 0$ and is holomorphic in $D_{\tilde{R}}$. Let Λ_R be the averaging operator

$$\Lambda_R(f) = \lim_{L \to \infty} \frac{1}{2\pi i L} \int_{S_R^{(L)}} \frac{f(z)}{z} \, dz,$$

where $S_R^{(L)}$ is the L-fold cover of the circle with radius R. Certainly

$$\|\Lambda_R(f)\| \leq \sup_{|z| = R} \|f(z)\|.$$

Since f is holomorphic for $0 < |z| < R$, we have

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i L} \int_{S_R^{(L)}} \frac{f(z)}{z} \, dz = \frac{1}{2\pi i L} \int_{S_\delta^{(L)}} \frac{f(z)}{z} \, dz + O(L^{-1}).$$

This shows

$$\Lambda_R(f) = \lim_{L\to\infty} \sum_{\alpha\in\operatorname{supp} f} \frac{a_\alpha}{2\pi i L} \int_{S_\delta^{(L)}} z^{\alpha-1}\, dz = a_0.$$

Suppose (I_k) is a family of finite subsets of $\operatorname{supp} f$ such that

$$I_1 \subset I_2 \subset \dots \quad \text{and} \quad \bigcup_k I_k = \operatorname{supp} f.$$

For $z \in D_{\tilde{R}}$ let $g_k(z) = \sum_{\alpha\in I_k} \lambda_\alpha z^{-\alpha} R^\alpha$ where $\lambda_\alpha \in \mathcal{R}^* := \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{R}, \mathbb{R})$ are chosen such that

$$\|\lambda_\alpha\| = 1, \qquad \lambda_\alpha(a_\alpha) = \|a_\alpha\|.$$

Such λ_α exist by the Hahn-Banach theorem.

Then g_k is holomorphic in $D_{\tilde{R}}$ and $\|g_k(z)\| \le \sum_{\alpha\in I_k} |z|^{-\alpha} R^\alpha$. Moreover

$$\langle g_k\,,\, f\rangle(z) = \sum_{\substack{\alpha\in I_k\\ \gamma=-\alpha+\beta}} \lambda_\alpha(a_\beta) R^\alpha z^\gamma$$

and the constant term of this function is

$$\sum_{\alpha\in I_k} \|a_\alpha\| R^\alpha = \Lambda_\delta(\langle g_k\,,\, f\rangle) = \Lambda_{\tilde{R}}(\langle g_k\,,\, f\rangle).$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\alpha\in I_k} \|a_\alpha\| R^\alpha &\le \sup_{|z|=R} |\langle g_k\,,\, f\rangle(z)| \le \sup_{|z|=R} \|g_k(z)\| \|f(z)\| \\ &\le \sup_{|z|=R} \|f(z)\| \sum_{\alpha\in\operatorname{supp} f} (R/\tilde{R})^\alpha\end{aligned}$$

and the theorem follows by letting $k\to\infty$. □

Theorem 5.5. *Let $R > 0$ and assume $f_k\colon D_R \to V$ is a sequence of bounded Hahn-holomorphic functions that converge uniformly to a bounded function $f\colon D_R \to V$. Suppose that there exist constants $C > 0, \hat{\varepsilon} > 0$ such that for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$*

$$\sum_{\alpha\in\operatorname{supp} f_k} \hat{\varepsilon}^\alpha < C.$$

Suppose furthermore that there exists $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ such that $\operatorname{supp} f_k \to I$ in the following sense: For each compact subset $K \Subset \mathbb{R}$ there exists $N > 0$ such that

$$\operatorname{supp} f_k \cap K = I \cap K \quad \textit{for all} \quad k \ge N.$$

Then $f(x)$ is Hahn-holomorphic on D_R with $\operatorname{supp} f \subset I$.

Proof. First, I is well-ordered because $\operatorname{supp} f_k \to I$. Let $f_k(z) = \sum_{\alpha\in\operatorname{supp} f_k} a_\alpha^{(k)} z^\alpha$ be the expansion of f_k.

Let $\varepsilon > 0$, then there exists $N_1 > 0$ such that $\|f_\ell(z) - f_k(z)\| < \varepsilon$ for all $k, \ell > N_1$ and all $z \in D_R$. Given a finite subset $\tilde{I} \subset I$, we can choose $N > N_1$ such that $\tilde{I} \cap \operatorname{supp} f_k = \tilde{I}$ for all $k > N$. Theorem 5.4 then shows for all $k, \ell > N$ and $\tilde{R} < \hat{\varepsilon} R$ that

$$\sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{I}} \|a_\alpha^{(\ell)} - a_\alpha^{(k)}\| \cdot \tilde{R}^\alpha \le \sup_{|z| = \tilde{R}} \|f_\ell(z) - f_k(z)\| \cdot \sum_{\alpha \in \operatorname{supp} f_k \cup \operatorname{supp} f_\ell} \hat{\varepsilon}^\alpha < 2\, C\, \varepsilon.$$

It follows that $(a_\alpha^{(k)})_k$ is a Cauchy sequence for each α. Let $a_\alpha := \lim\limits_{k \to \infty} a_\alpha^{(k)}$.

Given a finite subset $\tilde{I} \subset I$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ we can find N such that $\|a_\alpha^{(k)} - a_\alpha\| < \varepsilon$ for all $k > N, \alpha \in \tilde{I}$. Then for $|z| < \tilde{R} < \hat{\varepsilon} R$,

$$\Big\| \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{I}} a_\alpha^{(k)} z^\alpha - \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{I}} a_\alpha z^\alpha \Big\| < \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{I}} \|a_\alpha^{(k)} - a_\alpha\| \tilde{R}^\alpha < C\, \varepsilon.$$

This shows that $\sum_{\alpha \in I} a_\alpha z^\alpha$ is a Hahn series for f. By the uniform convergence of (f_k), f is analytic in $D_R^{[\sigma]} \setminus \{0\}$. Its Hahn series converges normally on $D_{\tilde{R}}$ because

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{I}} \|a_\alpha\| \tilde{R}^\alpha &\le \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{I}} \|a_\alpha^{(\ell)}\| \tilde{R}^\alpha + \sum_{\alpha \in \tilde{I}} \|a_\alpha^{(k)} - a_\alpha\| \tilde{R}^\alpha + \sum_{\alpha} \|a_\alpha^{(k)} - a_\alpha^{(\ell)}\| \tilde{R}^\alpha \\ &\le \sum_{\alpha \in \operatorname{supp} f_\ell} \|a_\alpha^{(\ell)}\| \tilde{R}^\alpha + C\varepsilon + 2C\varepsilon < \infty. \end{aligned}$$

for all finite $\tilde{I} \subset I$, ℓ sufficiently large, and $k \gg \ell$ depending on $\tilde{I}$. □

6. $z \log z$-HAHN HOLOMORPHIC FUNCTIONS

In the following let $\mathbb{R}^2$ be equipped with the lexicographical order and let $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ be a subgroup with order inherited from that of $\mathbb{R}^2$. Let $Y = D_{1/2}^{[\sigma]}$ for fixed $\sigma > 0$. The group G will be generated by

$$e_{(\alpha,\beta)}(z) := z^\alpha (-\log z)^{-\beta}, \qquad (\alpha, \beta) \in \Gamma, \quad |z| < 1.$$

With the inclusion $\mathbb{R} \times \{0\} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ this comprises the power functions z^α from the previous section. Note that

$$\lim_{z \to 0} e_{(\alpha,\beta)}(z) = 0 \iff \alpha > 0 \vee (\alpha = 0 \wedge \beta > 0)$$

which is equivalent to $(\alpha, \beta) > (0, 0)$ in the lexicographical ordering of $\mathbb{R}^2$. The monotonicity (4) of power functions z^α has to be replaced by the following "weak monotonicity" property.

Lemma 6.1. *Let $\mathcal{S} \subset \Gamma^+ = \{\gamma \in \Gamma \mid \gamma > 0\}$ be a set such that there exists an $N \in \mathbb{N}_0$ with*

$$-\beta \le N\alpha \quad \text{for all} \quad (\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{S}. \tag{$*$}$$

Then

a) There exists $r_N < 1$ such that for $(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{S}$ and $|\theta| < \sigma$ the function

$$r \mapsto |re^{i\theta}|^\alpha |\log(re^{i\theta})|^{-\beta}$$

is monotonously increasing on $[0, r_N)$.

b) Given x with $0 < x < r_N$, there exists $\rho_N(x) \leq x$ such that for all z with $0 \leq |z| \leq \rho_N(x)$, $|\arg z| < \sigma$ we have

$$(\alpha, \beta) \in \mathcal{S} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad |e_{(\alpha,\beta)}(z)| \leq e_{(\alpha,\beta)}(x)$$

Proof. The proof is elementary and will be omitted here. □

It is not difficult to see that if $\mathcal{S}$ satisfies $(*)$, then a similar inequality holds for the set $(\mathcal{S} - A) \cap \Gamma^+$ where $A \subset \mathcal{S}$ and the constant N depends on A. Thus a set $\mathcal{S}$ with $(*)$ is admissible for e.

Now the assumptions from section 2 are all satisfied and we can consider Hahn holomorphic and meromorphic functions: A *$z \log z$-Hahn holomorphic function* with values in a Banach algebra $\mathcal{R}$ is defined by a normally convergent series

$$f(z) = \sum_{(\alpha,\beta)\in\Gamma} a_{(\alpha,\beta)} z^\alpha (-\log z)^{-\beta}, \qquad a_{(\alpha,\beta)} \in \mathcal{R}, \qquad z \in D^{[\sigma]}_{1/2},$$

such that $\operatorname{supp}(f)$ is contained in a set $\mathcal{S} \cup \{(0,0)\}$ with $\mathcal{S}$ as in Lemma 6.1.

Note that the property $(*)$ is invariant under addition and multiplication of Hahn holomorphic functions, so that $z \log z$-Hahn holomorphic functions indeed are a ring, and all results from section 2 apply.

Example 6.2. *The series*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^n (-\log z)^n = (1 + z \log z)^{-1}$$

is a Hahn series in $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ with support $\{(n, -n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$. It converges normally on the set $\{z \in Z \mid |z \log z| < 1/2\}$ and therefore defines a $z \log z$-Hahn holomorphic function on $D^{[\sigma]}_r$ for any $\sigma > 0$ and sufficiently small $r = r(\sigma)$.

Example 6.3. *The formal series*

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n!} z (-\log z)^n$$

is not *a Hahn series for $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$, because the support*

$$\{(1, -n) \mid n \in \mathbb{N}_0\}$$

is not a well-ordered subset of Γ.

Example 6.4. *The logarithm $\log z = \frac{z \log z}{z}$ is Hahn meromorphic for $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.*

Example 6.5. *The series*

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\sum_{m=1}^{\infty}\frac{1}{m^2}z^n(-\log z)^{(2n-1+\frac{1}{m})}$$

defines a $z\log z$*-Hahn holomorphic function in a neighborhood* $D_\epsilon^{[\sigma]}$ *of* 0 *for any* $\sigma > 0$ *and for small enough* $\epsilon = \epsilon(\sigma)$ *with* $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Q}$*. Its support is*

$$\{(n, 1-2n-1/m) \mid n, m \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

7. Applications: Hahn meromorphic continuation of resolvent kernels

7.1. Suppose that $\nu > 0$. Then the differential operator B_ν associated to the Bessel differential equation in its Liouville normal form,

$$B_\nu := -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial x^2} + \frac{\nu^2-\frac{1}{4}}{x^2}\mathrm{Id}, \tag{5}$$

is essentially self-adjoint on the space $\{f \in C_c^\infty([1,\infty)) \mid f(1) = 0\}$, equipped with the inner product inherited from $L^2((1,\infty), dx)$. In the following we will denote the self-adjoint extension of B_ν by the same symbol B_ν.

Let $H_\nu^{(1)}$ and $H_\nu^{(2)}$ be the Hankel functions of order ν of the first and second kinds respectively, and

$$\widetilde{H}_\nu^{(j)}(\lambda, x) := \sqrt{x}\frac{H_\nu^{(j)}(\lambda x)}{H_\nu^{(j)}(\lambda)}, \qquad j \in \{1, 2\}.$$

The following lemma summarizes some elementary properties of these functions.

Lemma 7.1.

a) The function $\psi_\lambda(x) := \widetilde{H}_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda, x)$ *is the unique solution of the boundary value problem*

$$(B_\nu - \lambda^2)\psi_\lambda = 0, \quad \psi_\lambda(1) = 1, \tag{6}$$

such that $\psi_\lambda \in L^2((1,\infty), dx)$ *for all* $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ *with* $\operatorname{Im}\lambda > 0$*. Similarly,* $\widetilde{H}_\nu^{(2)}$ *is the square integrable solution for* $\operatorname{Im}\lambda < 0$*.*

b) Furthermore, $\widetilde{H}_\nu(\lambda, x)$ *is a* $z\log z$*-Hahn holomorphic function in* λ *for all* $\nu > 0$*.*

c) The cylinder function

$$G_\nu(\lambda, x) = \frac{i}{2}\big(H_\nu^{(2)}(\lambda)H_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda x) - H_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda)H_\nu^{(2)}(\lambda x)\big) \tag{7}$$

is the unique solution of the initial value problem

$$(B_\nu - \lambda^2)G_\nu(\lambda, \cdot) = 0, \qquad G_\nu(\lambda, 1) = 0, \quad \frac{d}{dx}G_\nu(\lambda, x)|_{x=1} = -\frac{2}{\pi}.$$

Moreover, $G_\nu(\lambda, x)$ *is holomorphic and even in* λ*.*

Proof. We will only sketch the arguments. All formulas can be found in [14] and the references there.

a) The Hankel functions $H_\nu^{(1)}$ are solutions of the Bessel equation. That $\widetilde{H}_\nu^{(1)}$ is a square integrable solution then follows from the asymptotics

$$H_\nu^{(1;2)}(z) \sim \sqrt{\frac{2}{\pi z}} e^{\pm i(z-\frac{\pi}{2}\nu-\frac{\pi}{4})} \quad , \qquad |z| \to \infty. \tag{8}$$

b) The Hankel function of the first kind is related with the Bessel function through

$$H_\nu^{(1)}(z) = \frac{i}{\sin \nu\pi}\big(e^{-ia\pi} J_\nu(z) - J_{-\nu}(z)\big). \tag{9}$$

There is an even, holomorphic function h_ν such that the first Bessel function has the representation

$$J_\nu(z) = \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^\nu h_\nu(z),$$

which shows that J_ν is a z-Hahn holomorphic function with support in $\mathbb{Z} + \nu\mathbb{Z}$. This shows that $\lambda \mapsto \widetilde{H}_\nu^{(j)}(\lambda, x)$ is a z-Hahn holomorphic function for $\nu \notin \mathbb{N}_0$, with support contained in $2\mathbb{N}_0 + 2\nu\mathbb{N}_0$. For $\nu = n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, one has to take the limit $\nu \to n$ in (9), which leads to logarithmic terms. In this way one obtains that $\lambda \mapsto \widetilde{H}_n^{(j)}(\lambda, x)$ is $z \log z$-Hahn holomorphic with support contained in $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$.

c) That $G_\nu(\cdot, x)$ is holomorphic and even in λ follows for non-integer ν from

$$G_\nu(\lambda, x) = \frac{1}{\sin \nu\pi}\big(x^{-\nu} h_\nu(\lambda) h_{-\nu}(\lambda x) - x^\nu h_{-\nu}(\lambda) h_\nu(\lambda x)\big)$$

as above and is the result of a straightforward computation in the case when ν is an integer. This can however also be derived more directly from the fact that this function is the unique solution to an initial value problem for an ordinary differential equations whose coefficients depend holomorphically on λ^2. ☐

The spectral resolution of B_ν is well known and given by the Weber transform, which we recall now. Let $f \in C_0^\infty(1, \infty)$. For any $\nu \in \mathbb{R}$, define

$$\mathbb{W}_\nu(f)(\lambda) = \int_1^\infty \frac{G_\nu(\lambda, x)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda) H_\nu^{(2)}(\lambda)} f(x)\, x\, dx \quad \in C_0^\infty((0, \infty)).$$

It is well known that the Weber transform $\mathbb{W}_\nu$ extends continuously to a unitary map

$$\mathbb{W}_\nu : L^2([1, \infty), x\, dx) \to L^2([0, \infty), H_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda) H_\nu^{(2)}(\lambda) \lambda\, d\lambda) =: \mathfrak{L}.$$

Let $\eta : L^2((1, b), x\, dx) \to L^2((1, b), dx)$ by the isometry given by $\eta(f)(x) := \sqrt{x} f(x)$. The Weber transform diagonalizes the operator B_ν in the sense that

$$\mathbb{W}_\nu \eta^{-1} B_\nu (\mathbb{W}_\nu \eta^{-1})^{-1} f(\lambda) = \lambda^2 f(\lambda)$$

and the domain of B_ν can be described as

$$\big\{f \in L^2([1, \infty), dx) \mid (\lambda \mapsto \lambda^2 (\mathbb{W}_\nu \eta^{-1} f)(\lambda)) \in \mathfrak{L}\big\}.$$

Thus $\mathbb{W}_\nu$ gives full control over the functional calculus of B_ν.

The kernel $r_\lambda^{(\nu)}$ of the resolvent $(B_\nu - \lambda^2)^{-1}$ can be constructed directly out of the fundamental system of the Sturm-Liouville equation (6) and this results in

$$r_\lambda^{(\nu)}(x,y) = \frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{xy}\cdot G_\nu\big(\lambda, \min(x,y)\big)\frac{H_\nu^{(1)}\big(\lambda\max(x,y)\big)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda)}, \quad 1 \le x, y \le \infty. \tag{10}$$

Proposition 7.2. *For any $\kappa > 0$ and $\sigma > 0$ the resolvent $(B_\nu - \lambda^2)^{-1}$ extends, as a function of λ, to a $z\log z$-Hahn meromorphic function on some neighborhood $D_r^{[\sigma]}$ of 0 with values in*

$$\mathcal{K}\big(L^2((1,\infty), e^{\kappa x}\, dx),\ L^2((1,\infty), e^{-\kappa x}\, dx)\big).$$

In a neighborhood of zero this function is even Hahn holomorphic.

Proof. First let $\nu \notin \mathbb{N}$. For $x < y$ we write the kernel as

$$r_\lambda^{(\nu)}(x,y) = q^{(\nu)}(\lambda)(\lambda^{2\nu} f_1^{(\nu)}(x,y)(\lambda) + f_2^{(\nu)}(x,y)(\lambda))$$

with even, analytic functions

$$f_1^{(\nu)}(x,y)(\lambda) := e^{-i\nu\pi} y^\nu G_\nu(\lambda, x) h_\nu(\lambda y)$$
$$f_2^{(\nu)}(x,y)(\lambda) := -y^{-\nu} G_\nu(\lambda, x) h_{-\nu}(\lambda y)$$

and

$$q^{(\nu)}(\lambda) := \frac{\pi}{2}\big(e^{-i\nu\pi}\left(\tfrac{\lambda}{2}\right)^{2\nu} h_\nu(\lambda) - h_{-\nu}(\lambda)\big)^{-1}$$

is Hahn-holomorphic near 0 because of (9), in particular it is bounded in a neighbourhood $D_r^{[\sigma]}$ of 0.

Due to Cauchy's integral formula, the coefficient $a_{j,k}^{(\nu)}(x,y)$ of λ^{2k} in the Taylor series expansion of $f_j^{(\nu)}(x,y)$ can be estimated by

$$|a_{j,k}^{(\nu)}(x,y)| \le R^{-k} \sup_{|\lambda|=R} |f_j^{(\nu)}(x,y)(\lambda)|, \qquad j = 1, 2.$$

The functions h_ν and G_ν can be expressed in terms of $H_\nu^{(1)}$ and $H_\nu^{(2)}$. From the inequalities (10.17.13) in [14] one then obtains that

$$\sup_{|\lambda|=R} |f_j^{(\nu)}(\cdot,\cdot)(\lambda)| \in L^2((1,\infty)\times(1,\infty), e^{-3R(x+y)}\, dx \otimes dy)$$

Thus the kernels $\{a_{j,k}^{(\nu)}(x,y)\}_k$ define Hilbert-Schmidt-Operators

$$A_{j,k} : L^2((1,\infty), e^{\kappa x}\, dx) \to L^2((1,\infty), e^{-\kappa x}\, dx)$$

with norm bounded from above by $C(\nu)R^{-k}$ for some constant $C(\nu)$. But then the series

$$\lambda^{2\nu}\sum_{k=0}^\infty \|A_{k;1}^{(\nu)}\| |\lambda|^{2k} + \sum_{k=0}^\infty \|A_{k;2}^{(\nu)}\| |\lambda|^{2k}$$

converges normally in some neighborhood $U \subset D_r^{[\sigma]}$ of 0 and the kernel

$$\lambda^{2\nu} f_1^{(\nu)}(x,y)(\lambda) + f_2^{(\nu)}(x,y)(\lambda)$$

defines a (z-)Hahn-holomorphic family of Hilbert-Schmidt operators in

$$\mathcal{K}\big(L^2\big((1,\infty),e^{\kappa x}\,dx\big),\ L^2((1,\infty),e^{-\kappa x}\,dx)\,\big).$$

After composition with the Hahn-holomorphic family of bounded operators $q^{(\nu)}(\lambda)\mathrm{Id}$ we obtain the statement of the Lemma.

For integral $\nu = n \in \mathbb{N}$ we can argue similarly. In this case

$$y^n \frac{H_n^{(1)}(\lambda y)}{H_n^{(1)}(\lambda)} = \frac{(\log\lambda + \log y)q_1^{(n)}(\lambda y) + q_2^{(n)}(\lambda y)}{(\log\lambda)q_1^{(n)}(\lambda) + q_2^{(n)}(\lambda)}$$

with even, holomorphic functions $q_1^{(n)}, q_2^{(n)}$. ☐

7.2. The resolvent of the Laplace-Operator on cones.

Let $Z = [1,\infty)\times M$ be equipped with the cone metric $g^Z = dr^2 + r^2 g^M$, where (M, g^M) is a compact n-dimensional Riemannian manifold (without boundary); we will call Z a *cone*. We consider the selfadjoint extension Δ of the Laplace operator on compactly supported functions $C_0^\infty(Z)$ to $L^2(Z, g^Z)$ subject to Dirichlet boundary conditions at $\{1\}\times M$. Under the isometry

$$\Psi : L^2(Z, dr^2 + g^M) \to L^2(Z, g^Z), \qquad f(r,y) \mapsto r^{-n/2} f(r,y)$$

this Laplacian becomes

$$\widetilde{\Delta} := \Psi^{-1}\circ\Delta\circ\Psi = -\frac{\partial^2}{\partial r^2} + \frac{1}{r^2}\left(\Delta_M + \tfrac{n}{2}\left(\tfrac{n}{2}-1\right)\right).$$

Let $\{\mu_k\}$ be the eigenvalues of the Laplace operator Δ_M on $L^2(M)$ and define $\nu_k := \nu(\mu_k)$ as the positive solution of $\nu_k^2 - \frac14 = \frac{n}{2}\left(\frac{n}{2}-1\right) + \mu_k$. Let $\{\phi_\nu\}_{\nu\in I}$ be the corresponding orthonormal Hilbert space basis of $L^2(M)$ consisting of eigenfunctions of Δ_M, such that $\Delta_M\phi_{\nu(\mu)} = \mu\phi_{\nu(\mu)}$.

For a smooth function $f(r,y) = \sum_{\nu\in I} f_\nu(r)\phi_\nu(y) \in L^2(Z)$ we obtain

$$\Psi^{-1}(\Delta-\lambda^2)\Psi f(r,y) = \sum_{\nu\in I}\big((B_\nu-\lambda^2)f_\nu\big)(r)\phi_\nu(y),$$

where B_ν is the Bessel operator defined in (5).

We make the additional assumption that each ν_k either is an integer, or is not “too close” to an integer in a sense to be made precise in Definition 7.8 below. Such ν will be called *suitable.*

Example 7.3. *For $M = S^n, n \geq 2$, the n-sphere equipped with the standard metric, it is well-known (see e.g. [13], §22) that the eigenvalues of the Laplace operator are $\mu_k = k(k+n-1), k\in\mathbb{N}_0$ with multiplicity $m_k := \binom{n+k}{n} - \binom{n+k-2}{n}$. Then $\nu_k := \nu(\mu_k) := \frac{n-1}{2}+k$ is (half-)integral for odd (even) n and $I = \big(\nu_0, \nu_1, \dots, \nu_1, \nu_2, \dots\big)$, where each ν_j appears m_j times.*

Let $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\operatorname{Im}\lambda > 0$, in particular λ^2 lies in the resolvent set of Δ. Then the integral kernel of the resolvent $(\widetilde{\Delta} - \lambda^2)^{-1}$ is given by

$$K((x,p),(y,q),\lambda) = \sum_{\nu \in I} r^{(\nu)}(x,y)(\lambda)\phi_\nu(q) \otimes \phi_\nu(p), \tag{11}$$

where $r^{(\nu)}$ is defined in (10). Recall from section 7.1 that $r^{(\nu)}$ is a $z \log z$-Hahn holomorphic function,

$$r^{(\nu)}(x,y)(\lambda) = \sum_{\gamma \in S_\nu \subset \mathbb{R}^2} a_\gamma^{(\nu)}(x,y) e_\gamma(\lambda), \quad e_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\lambda) := \lambda^\alpha(-\log z)^{-\beta},$$

where logarithmic terms occur only for $\nu \in \mathbb{N}_0$.

Take $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$ to be the group generated by all supports $S_\nu := \operatorname{supp} r^{(\nu)}(x,y)$, which is independent of the choice of (x,y). Then it is clear that the resolvent kernel is a Hahn-series with support in $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathbb{R}^2$:

$$\begin{aligned} K((x,p),(y,q),\lambda) &:= \sum_{\nu \in I} r^{(\nu)}(x,y)(\lambda)\phi_\nu(q) \otimes \phi_\nu(p) \\ &= \sum_{\nu \in I} \sum_{g \in S_\nu} a_g^{(\nu)}(x,y) e_g(\lambda)\phi_\nu(q) \otimes \phi_\nu(p) \\ &= \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} e_g(\lambda) \Big(\sum_{\nu \in I : g \in S_\nu} a_g^{(\nu)}(x,y)\phi_\nu(q) \otimes \phi_\nu(p) \Big) \\ &=: \sum_{g \in \mathcal{G}} \tilde{a}_g((x,p),(y,q)) e_g(\lambda) \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

where we have set $\tilde{a}_g = 0$, if $g \notin \bigcup_{\nu \in I} S_\nu$.

Theorem 7.4.
Under the above assumptions, for any $\sigma > 0$ the resolvent $(\widetilde{\Delta} - \lambda^2)^{-1}$ extends, as a function of λ, to a $z \log z$-Hahn meromorphic function on $D_r^{[\sigma]}$ with values in

$$\mathcal{K}\big(L^2((1,\infty) \times M, e^{2x^2}\,dx \otimes \mathrm{vol}_M),\ L^2((1,\infty) \times M, e^{-2x^2}\,dx \otimes \mathrm{vol}_M)\big).$$

In a neighborhood of zero this function is Hahn holomorphic.

Proof. In Proposition 7.6 below we will see that each coefficient in the series (12) is the kernel of a compact operator between weighted L^2-spaces. In Corollary 7.7 we prove that the corresponding Hahn-series with values in compact operators converges normally near $\lambda = 0$ and that the support is admissible. This proves that the Hahn series is even Hahn holomorphic. ☐

We emphasize that the proof of the normal convergence in Corollary 7.7 goes through, whenever the set $\{\nu_i\}$ is *suitable*, see Definition 7.8 below. For the Laplace operator on differential forms $L^2(S^n, \Lambda^* T^* S^n)$, the eigenvalues μ are still integers (cf. [5], Theorem 4.2), but now the corresponding $\nu = \nu(\mu_k, p)$ are not anymore half-integral, which means that Theorem 7.4 has a straightforward extension to differential forms.

A similar result can be proven for the Laplacian acting on differential forms on $(1,\infty)\times P^n(\mathbb{C})$, where $P^n(\mathbb{C})$ is equipped with the Fubini-Study metric. The eigenvalues for the Laplace operator on sections of $\Lambda^p T^* P^n(\mathbb{C})$ have been computed in Theorem 5.2 of [5], they again are integers.

7.2.1. *The Hahn series of the resolvent kernel.* In Lemma 7.1 we have seen that G_ν and $\widetilde{H}_\nu$ are Hahn-holomorphic functions. Let

$$G_\nu(\lambda,r)=\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} g_\ell^{(\nu)}(r)\lambda^{2\ell}, \qquad \frac{H_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda r)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda)}=\sum_{g\in S_\nu}\gamma_g^{(\nu)}(r)e_g(\lambda)$$

be the corresponding Hahn series expansions, with $e_{(\alpha,\beta)}(\lambda):=\lambda^\alpha(-\log z)^{-\beta}$.

The proof of the following Lemma utilizes the explicit formulas for the series expansion of the Hankel functions and will be carried out in the Appendix (cf. Lemmas 8.1, 8.3, 8.5).

Lemma 7.5.

- *There exists $\rho>0$ such that for all $\nu>0, r\geq 1$,*
$$|g_\ell^{(\nu)}(r)|\leq \frac{\rho}{\nu}\frac{r^{\nu+2\ell}}{2^\ell \ell!}\leq \frac{\rho}{\nu}\cdot r^\nu \exp\left(\tfrac{r^2}{2}\right). \tag{13}$$
- *There exists $c>0$ such that for all* suitable $\nu\in\mathbb{R}_+\setminus\mathbb{Z}$ *(cf. Definition 7.8) the coefficients of $\gamma_g^{(\nu)}$ are bounded by*
$$|\gamma_g^{(\nu)}(r)|\leq c\exp\left(\tfrac{r^2}{2}\right), \qquad r\geq 1. \tag{14}$$
- *There exist $c>0$ such that for all $n\geq 2$, $g\in S_n$ and $r\geq 1$*
$$|\gamma_g^{(n)}(r)|\leq c\sqrt{r}\exp(\tfrac{r^2}{2}) \tag{15}$$

Recall from (10) that for $1\leq x<y$,

$$r_\lambda^{(\nu)}(x,y)=\frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{xy}\cdot G_\nu(\lambda,x)\frac{H_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda y)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda)}=:\frac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{xy}\sum_{g\in\operatorname{supp} r_\nu}\alpha_g^{(\nu)}(x,y)e_g(\lambda),$$

so that

$$\tilde{\alpha}_g^{(\nu)}(x,y)=\tfrac{\pi}{2}\sqrt{xy}\begin{cases}\alpha_g^{(\nu)}(x,y), & x\leq y\\ \alpha_g^{(\nu)}(y,x), & x\geq y\end{cases}$$

are the coefficients in the Hahn-series expansion of $r_\lambda^{(\nu)}(x,y)$. Then the coefficients of $e_g(\lambda)$ in the Hahn-series expansion of the kernel of $(\Delta-\lambda^2)^{-1}$ are

$$\tilde{A}_g((x,p),(y,q)):=\sum_\nu \tilde{\alpha}_g^{(\nu)}(x,y)\phi_\nu(q)\otimes\phi_\nu(p). \tag{16}$$

Proposition 7.6. *Assume that the family $V=\{\nu\}$ of orders is suitable. Then for every $g\in\operatorname{supp} r^{(\nu)}$,*

$$\tilde{\alpha}_g\in L^2((1,\infty)\times(1,\infty),e^{-2x^2}dx\otimes e^{-2y^2}dy).$$

and the kernel $\tilde{A}_g$ *defines a Hilbert-Schmidt operator*

$$A_g : L^2((1,\infty)\times M, e^{2x^2}dx \otimes \mathrm{vol}_M) \to L^2((1,\infty)\times M, e^{-2x^2}dx\otimes \mathrm{vol}_M)$$

between weighted L^2*-spaces. There exists a constant* $C > 0$ *such that* $\|A_g\| \leq C$ *for all* $g \in \bigcup_\nu \operatorname{supp} r_\nu$*.*

Proof. We first estimate the coefficients $\alpha_g^{(\nu)}(x,y)$ for $g \in \operatorname{supp} r^{(\nu)}$.

First let $\nu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \mathbb{Q}$. Then the coefficients are given by

$$\alpha_\eta^{(\nu)}(x,y) = \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} g_{\ell-j}(x) y^{-\nu}\gamma_{2j+2q\nu}(y), \qquad \eta = 2\ell + 2q\nu, \quad q \geq 0.$$

Using $x < y$, (13) and (14):

$$\begin{aligned}|\alpha_\eta^{(\nu)}(x,y)| &\leq \sum_{j=0}^{\ell} |g_{\ell-j}(x)| y^{-\nu}\gamma_{2j+2q\nu}(y)| \leq \frac{c\rho}{\nu}\sum_{j=0}^{\ell}\frac{x^{\nu+2(\ell-j)}}{2^{\ell-j}(\ell-j)!} y^{-\nu}\cdot \exp\left(\tfrac{y^2}{2}\right)\\ &\leq \frac{c\rho}{\nu}\cdot\exp\left(\tfrac{y^2}{2}\right)\left(\frac{x}{y}\right)^{\nu}\sum_{j=0}^{\ell}\frac{x^{2j}}{2^j j!} \leq \frac{c\rho}{\nu}\cdot \exp\left(\tfrac{y^2}{2}\right)\exp\left(\tfrac{x^2}{2}\right) \qquad (17)\end{aligned}$$

The coefficients for rational ν can be estimated in the same way. Note that all these inequalities hold only for “suitable” ν.

Now let $\nu = n \in \mathbb{N}$. For $x < y$

$$\begin{aligned}G_n(\lambda,x)\frac{H_n^{(1)}(\lambda y)}{H_n^{(1)}(\lambda)} &= \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} g_j(x) e_{(2j,0)}(\lambda) y^{-n}\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\gamma_{(s,p)}(y) e_{(2pn+2s,-p)}(\lambda)\\ &= \sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} e_{(2pn+2\ell,-p)}(\lambda)\sum_{s=0}^{\ell} g_{\ell-s}(x) y^{-n}\gamma_{(s,p)}(y)\end{aligned}$$

Then for $\eta = (2pn+2\ell,-p) \in \mathbb{N}_0 \times (-\mathbb{N}_0)$, as above, now using (15) and the uniform bound (13):

$$|\alpha_\eta^{(\nu)}(x,y)| \leq \sum_{s=0}^{\ell} |g_s(x) y^{-n}\gamma_{(\ell-s,p)}(y) \leq \frac{c\rho}{\nu}\cdot\sqrt{y}\,\exp\left(\tfrac{x^2+y^2}{2}\right) \qquad (18)$$

First consider $\nu \notin \mathbb{N}_0$. Let

$$E(a) := \int_0^\infty y^a e^{-y^2}\,dy = \frac{1}{2}\Gamma(\frac{a+1}{2}), \qquad a \geq 0.$$

Denote with $\|\cdot\|_{-2}$ the norm on $L^2((1,\infty),e^{-2x^2}dx)$.

$$\begin{aligned}\|\|\tilde{\alpha}_g^{(\nu)}\|_{-2}\|_{-2}^2 &= \int_1^\infty\int_1^\infty |\tilde{\alpha}_g^{(\nu)}(x,y)|^2 e^{-2y^2}\,dy\,e^{-2x^2}\,dx\\ &= \int_1^\infty \Big(\int_1^x xy|\alpha_g(y,x)|^2 e^{-2y^2}\,dy\\ &\qquad + \int_x^\infty xy|\alpha_g(x,y)|^2 e^{-2y^2}\,dy\Big)\,e^{-2x^2}\,dx\end{aligned}$$

From (17), for $g = 2\ell + 2s\nu$ in the support and suitable ν:

$$\|\|\tilde{\alpha}_g^{(\nu)}\|_{-2}\|_{-2}^2 \leq \frac{\hat{c}^2}{\nu^2}\int_1^\infty\int_1^\infty xye^{x^2+y^2}\cdot e^{-2y^2}\,dy\,e^{-2x^2}\,dx \leq \frac{\hat{c}^2}{\nu^2}E(1)^2.$$

The same reasoning holds for rational ν.

For $\nu = n \in \mathbb{N}$ and $g = (2pn + 2\ell, -p)$, using (18)

$$\begin{aligned}\|\|\tilde{\alpha}_g^{(n)}\|_{-2}\|_{-2}^2 &\leq C_1^2\int_1^\infty \Big(x^2y\,e^{x^2}\int_1^x e^{-y^2}\,dy + e^{x^2}\int_x^\infty xy^2\,e^{-y^2}\,dy\Big)\,e^{-2x^2}\,dx\\ &\leq 2\,C_1^2E(2)E(1)\end{aligned}$$

Altogether we have seen that there exists a constant C such that

$$\|A_g\| \leq \sup_{\nu:g\in\operatorname{supp} r_\nu}\|\|\tilde{\alpha}_g^{(\nu)}\|_{-2}\|_{-2} \leq C. \qquad \square$$

Now we can use the growth condition of the family (ν) together with the uniform bound for $\|A_g\|$ from Proposition 7.6 to show normal convergence of the operator-valued Hahn-series defined by the resolvent kernel, and thus finish the proof of Theorem 7.4.

Corollary 7.7. *The Hahn series* $\sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{G}} A_\gamma e_\gamma(\lambda)$ *is normally convergent in* $D_\delta^{[\sigma]}$ *for some* $\delta > 0$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{S}$ be the support of the series $\sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{G}} A_\gamma e_\gamma(\lambda)$. Because of Proposition 7.6 is is sufficient to prove the "growth condition"

$$\sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{S}}\|e_\gamma\|_\delta < \infty.$$

We will see in the appendix that the support $\mathcal{S}$ is given by

$$\mathcal{S} = \bigcup_\nu \operatorname{supp} r_\nu = \mathcal{S}_\mathsf{n}\cup\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{r}\cup\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{i} \subset \mathbb{R}_+\times(-\mathbb{N}_0) \tag{19}$$

where $\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{n}, \mathcal{S}_\mathsf{r}, \mathcal{S}_\mathsf{i}$ correspond to natural, non-integer rational and irrational coefficients. Furthermore, elements in $\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{n}$ are of the form $(2sn + 2\ell, -s)$ with $s,\ell \in \mathbb{N}_0$, and those for non-integral ν have the form $(2s\nu + 2\ell, 0), \ell, s \in \mathbb{N}_0$. In the latter case, for $\nu = \frac{p}{q}$ the integer s lies in the range $0 \leq s < q$.

For $|\lambda| < \delta < 1$ and irrational ν,

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{i}} |e_\gamma(\lambda)| &\leq \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}_0} |\lambda^{2\ell}| + \sum_{\nu}\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}_0}\sum_{s\in\mathbb{N}} |\lambda|^{2\ell+2s\nu} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{1-|\lambda|^2} + \sum_{\nu}\sum_{s\in\mathbb{N}} |\lambda|^{2s\nu} \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}_0} |\lambda|^{2\ell} \leq \tilde{C} + \tilde{C}\sum_{\nu}\sum_{s\in\mathbb{N}} |\lambda|^{2\nu\cdot s} \\ &= \tilde{C} + \tilde{C}\sum_{\nu} \frac{|\lambda|^{2\nu}}{1-|\lambda|^{2\nu}} \leq \tilde{C} + \frac{\tilde{C}}{1-\delta^{2\nu_0}}\sum_{\nu} |\lambda|^{2\nu}.\end{aligned}$$

where ν_0 is the smallest $\nu > 0$ and $\tilde{C}$ depends only on δ. The same estimate holds for rational $\nu = \frac{p}{q}$.

Now the growth condition for the family $\{\nu\}$ shows that for $|\lambda| < \min(\delta, \sqrt{R})$ these partial series converge normally.

Finally, for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ (the case $n = 0$ can be ignored for convergence) we use

$$|\lambda|^{2n} |\log\lambda| \leq \frac{2}{e}|\lambda|^{2n-\frac{1}{2}}, \qquad |\lambda| < 1$$

and estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\gamma\in\mathcal{S}_\mathsf{n}} |e_\gamma(\lambda)| &= \sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}_0} |\lambda^{2\ell}| + \sum_{n}\sum_{\ell\in\mathbb{N}_0}\sum_{s=1}^{\infty} |\lambda|^{2sn+2\ell}\cdot|\log\lambda|^s \\ &\leq \tilde{C} + \tilde{C}\sum_{n}\sum_{s=1}^{\infty}\left(\tfrac{2}{e}|\lambda|^{2n-\frac{1}{2}}\right)^s \leq \tilde{C} + \frac{\tilde{C}\sqrt{|\lambda|}}{1-\frac{2}{e}|\lambda|^{\frac{3}{2}}}\sum_{n}|\lambda|^{2n} \leq \tilde{C} + \frac{\sqrt{\delta}\cdot\tilde{C}^2}{1-\frac{2}{e}\delta^{\frac{3}{2}}}\end{aligned}$$

Altogether this shows that the Hahn series $\sum_\gamma A_\gamma e_\gamma$ converges normally. □

7.2.2. *"Suitable" orders.* For some estimates in the proof of Lemma 7.5 we need further conditions on ν, which guarantee that the non-integral ν are sufficiently far away from integers and grow fast enough. This is made precise in

Definition 7.8. *Let* $\tilde{a}_k, \tilde{b}_k$ *as in* (36) *below.*

(a) The order $\nu \in \mathbb{R}_+ \setminus \mathbb{N}$ *is called* suitable, *if there exist* $0 < d < \frac{1}{4}(e^{1/4}-1)^{-1} \approx 0.88$, $\tilde{d} > 0$ *and* $0 < \hat{d} < \frac{1}{4e^{1/4}} \approx 0.195$ *such that*

$$\frac{2\pi}{2^\nu|\sin\nu\pi|\Gamma(\nu)} < 1 \tag{20a}$$

$$|\tilde{a}_k^{(\nu)}| := \frac{|\Gamma(1-\nu)|}{4^{\nu+k}k!\,\Gamma(k+1+\nu)} \leq \frac{\tilde{d}}{4^{\nu+k}k!\Gamma(\nu+1)} \leq \frac{\hat{d}}{4^k k!} \tag{20b}$$

$$|\tilde{b}_k^{(\nu)}| := \frac{|\Gamma(1-\nu)|}{4^k k!\,|\Gamma(k+1-\nu)|} \leq \frac{d}{4^k k!}. \tag{20c}$$

(b) A family of orders $V := \{\nu_i\}$ *with* $\nu_i > 0$ *and* $\nu_i \notin \mathbb{N}$ *is called suitable, if all but finitely many* $\nu \in V$ *are suitable, and* V *satisfies the following "growth condition": There exists an* $R > 0$ *such that* $\sum_{\nu \in V} R^{\nu} < \infty$.

Property (a) is used exclusively in the proof of (14) from Lemma 7.5 resp. Lemma 8.3. The growth condition is used in Corollary 7.7 to prove normal convergence of the operator valued Hahn series defined by the resolvent kernel (11).

We are primarily interested in the situation when the family (ν) is derived from eigenvalues the Laplace operator on differential forms on S^n. In this case the (ν) are roots of integers.

Lemma 7.9. *Let* $P \in \mathbb{Z}[X]$ *be a polynomial. Any family* $V = (\sqrt{q_i})_i$ *with* $q_i \in \mathbb{N}_0$ *and multiplicity of* q_i *less than* $P(q_i)$ *is suitable.*

Proof. First one shows for $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ and q with $n^2 < q < (n+1)^2$ that

$$\min\{\sqrt{q} - n, (n+1) - \sqrt{q}\} > \frac{1}{2(n+1)}$$

and then uses $|\sin x\pi| > 2|x|$ for $0 < |x| < \frac{1}{2}$ to prove for $\nu = \sqrt{q}$:

$$\frac{1}{(\nu+1)|\sin \nu\pi|} < 1, \qquad \frac{1}{\nu|\sin \nu\pi|} < \frac{3}{2}. \tag{21}$$

Thus for all but finitely many $\nu \in V$, inequality (20a) holds.

For the other conditions in Definition 7.8(a), we note that $1/\Gamma(x)$ is monotonous for $x > \frac{3}{2}$ and therefore for $\nu > \frac{1}{2}$,

$$\begin{aligned} |\tilde{a}_k^{(\nu)}| &= \frac{|\Gamma(1-\nu)|}{4^{\nu+k} k! \Gamma(\nu+k+1)} = \frac{\pi}{|\sin \nu\pi| 4^{\nu+k} k! \Gamma(\nu)\Gamma(\nu+k+1)} \\ &\leq \frac{\pi}{|\sin \nu\pi| \Gamma(\nu)} \cdot \frac{1}{4^{\nu+k} k! \Gamma(\nu+1)}. \end{aligned}$$

From (21) it is clear that (20b) can be satisfied for sufficiently large ν. For (20c), we let $\nu \in (n, n+1)$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$ and estimate

$$|\tilde{b}_k| = \frac{1}{4^k k! \prod_{j=1}^k |j-\nu|} \leq \frac{1}{4^k k!} \begin{cases} \frac{1}{n-1}, & 1 \leq k < n \\ \frac{2}{\operatorname{dist}(\nu, \mathbb{N}_0)(n-1)!}, & k \geq n \end{cases}$$

For the growth condition, we note that for $0 < R < 1$ and $m \in \mathbb{N}$

$$\int_0^\infty q^{m-1} R^{\sqrt{q}}\, dq = \frac{2\Gamma(2m)}{(-\log R)^{2m}}. \qquad \square$$

Remark 7.10. *Due to a famous result of Liouville about Diophantine approximations, an inequality similar to* (21) *can be proven for every algebraic number.*

7.3. The resolvent of the Laplace-Operator on compact perturbations of $\mathbb{R}^n$ or conic spaces.

Let $(Z = [1,\infty) \times M, g^Z)$ be a cone as defined in the previous section and let X be a Riemannian manifold that is isometric to Z away from a compact set. This means for some $a > 0$ we can identify X with $X = X_a \cup_{M_a} Z_a$, where $Z_a = [a,\infty) \times M$, $M_a = \{a\} \times M$, and X_a is a compact Riemannian manifold with boundary M_a.

Let Δ be the Laplace operator acting on compactly supported functions on X and let L be a formally self-adjoint first order differential operator that is compactly supported in X_a for some $a > 0$. Then, of course $P = \Delta + L$ is of Laplace type and therefore essentially self-adjoint on compactly supported smooth functions. We will denote its self-adjoint extension by the same symbol P whenever there is no danger of confusion. In this section we denote the self-adjoint operator on the cone that is obtained from the Laplace operator by imposing Dirichlet boundary conditions at M_1 by Δ_0. It follows from standard results in perturbation theory that the essential spectrum of P equals the essential spectrum of the Laplace operator on the cone, i.e. $[0,\infty)$. Moreover, it is well known that the distributional kernel of the resolvent $(P-\lambda^2)^{-1}$ has a meromorphic continuation across the spectrum away from the point $\lambda = 0$. Our Hahn-meromorphic Fredholm theorem allows us to refine this statement and show that the resolvent kernel is Hahn-meromorphic at $\lambda = 0$ if this is true for the kernel of $(\Delta_0 - \lambda^2)^{-1}$. The precise statement is formulated in the following theorem.

Theorem 7.11. *Suppose that for some $\sigma > 0$ the resolvent $(\Delta_0 - \lambda^2)^{-1}$ extends, as a function of λ, to a $z \log z$-Hahn holomorphic function on $D_r^{[\sigma]}$ with values in*

$$\mathcal{K}\big(L^2((1,\infty) \times M, e^{cx^2}\, dx \otimes \mathrm{vol}_M),\ L^2((1,\infty) \times M, e^{-cx^2}\, dx \otimes \mathrm{vol}_M)\big), \quad c > 0$$

for a group $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$. Let $\tilde{\Gamma}$ be the subgroup of $\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}$ generated by Γ and $2\mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$. Then, $(P - \lambda^2)^{-1}$ has an extension, as a function of λ, to a $z \log z$ Hahn meromorphic function on $D_r^{[\sigma]}$ for the group $\tilde{\Gamma}$ with values in

$$\mathcal{K}\big(L^2(X, w(x)\mathrm{vol}_X),\ L^2(X, (w(x))^{-1}\mathrm{vol}_X)\big),$$

where $w(x)$ is any positive function on X such that $w(x) = e^{cx^2}$ on Z_a. Moreover, the negative coefficients of the Hahn series of this extension are finite rank operators.

Proof. The proof is identical to the standard proof that the meromorphic properties of the resolvent do not change under compactly supported topological or metric perturbations. The only difference is that we apply our Hahn-meromorphic Fredholm theorem. For the sake of completeness we give the full argument here. By assumption we can choose $b > a > 1$ such that the operators Δ_0 and P agree on $C_0^\infty(Z_a)$. Suppose $\psi_1, \psi_2, \phi_1, \phi_2$ are smooth functions on X such that supp $\phi_1 \subset X_b$ and supp $\phi_2 \subset X_a$ and such that

$$\psi_1 + \psi_2 = 1, \quad \psi_1\phi_1 + \psi_2\phi_2 = 1, \quad \mathrm{dist}(\mathrm{supp}\ d\phi_i, \mathrm{supp}\ \psi_i) > 0.$$

Now denote by P_r the self-adjoint operator obtained from P by imposing suitable Robin boundary conditions at M_b such that 0 is not in the spectrum of P_r. Note that the operator P_r is the self-adjoint operator corresponding to the closure of the quadratic form $q(f,g) = \langle f, Pg\rangle_{L^2(X_b)} + t\int_{M_b} \overline{f}(x)g(x)dx$ where $t>0$ is the Robin parameter. The family P_r is an analytic family of type (B) depending on the parameter t in the sense of Kato (see [8]). A simple argument using perturbation theory shows that 0 is in the spectrum of P_r only for a discrete set of values of t and we can therefore choose $t>0$ such that P_r is invertible. Since P is an elliptic operator and Robin boundary conditions are elliptic, P_r has compact resolvent and therefore $(P_r-\lambda^2)^{-1}$ is a meromorphic function with values in $\mathcal{B}(L^2(X_b))$ and all its residues are finite rank operators. Moreover, this function is holomorphic near $\lambda=0$. Let us denote this meromorphic family of operators by $Q_1(\lambda)$ and denote by $Q_2(\lambda)$ the Hahn-holomorphic extension of $(\Delta_0-\lambda^2)^{-1}$ that exists by assumption. Then,

$$Q(\lambda) = \psi_1 Q_1(\lambda)\phi_1 + \psi_2 Q_2(\lambda)\phi_2 \tag{22}$$

is a Hahn holomorphic family with values in

$$\mathcal{K}\big(L^2(X, w(x)\mathrm{vol}_X),\ L^2(X, w(x)^{-1}\mathrm{vol}_X)\big)$$

with respect to the group $\tilde{\Gamma}$. By construction,

$$Q(\lambda)(P-\lambda^2) = \mathrm{id} + \sum_{i=1,2} \psi_i Q_i(\lambda)(\Delta\phi_i - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}\phi_i}). \tag{23}$$

Since the integral kernels of Q_i are smooth off the diagonal, the operator

$$K(\lambda) = \sum_{i=1,2} \psi_i Q_i(\lambda)(\Delta\phi_i - 2\nabla_{\mathrm{grad}\phi_i}) \tag{24}$$

is smoothing. Moreover, its integral kernel has compact support in the second variable. Therefore, $K(\lambda)$ is a Hahn meromorphic family with values in $\mathcal{K}\big(L^2(X, w(x)^{-1}\mathrm{vol}_X)\big)$ for the group $\tilde{\Gamma}$ and its residues are finite rank operators. Moreover,

$$\|K(\lambda)\| = \|w(x)^{-\frac{1}{2}}K(\lambda)w(x)^{\frac{1}{2}}\|_{L^2(X)} \leq C_1 \sum_{i=1,2} \|K_i(\lambda)\|_{L^2(X)} \leq \frac{C}{|\mathrm{Im}(\lambda^2)|}, \tag{25}$$

and therefore, for large values of $|\mathrm{Im}(\lambda^2)|$ the operator $\mathrm{id} + K(\lambda)$ is invertible. By the meromorphic Fredholm theory and the Hahn holomorphic Fredholm theorem $(\mathrm{id} + K(\lambda))^{-1}$ is a family of operators in $\mathcal{K}\big(L^2(X, w(x)^{-1}\mathrm{vol}_X)\big)$ which is meromorphic away from zero with finite rank negative Laurent coefficients, and which is Hahn holomorphic at zero for the group $\tilde{\Gamma}$. Hence, we have

$$(\mathrm{id} + K(\lambda))^{-1}Q(\lambda)(\Delta-\lambda) = \mathrm{id}, \tag{26}$$

and $(\mathrm{id}+K(\lambda))^{-1}Q(\lambda)$ extends the resolvent to a Hahn meromorphic function as claimed.
□

Combining Theorem 7.4 and Theorem 7.11 we obtain

Corollary 7.12. *Let M be a Riemannian manifold that is isometric to $\mathbb{R}^n\backslash B_R$ outside a compact set for some sufficiently large $R>0$. Let P be a compactly supported perturbation of the Laplace operator in the sense of the previous theorem and let $w(x)$ be as above. Then the resolvent $\lambda \mapsto (P-\lambda^2)^{-1}$ as a map*

$$\{\mathrm{Im}\,\lambda > 0\} \to \mathcal{K}\big(L^2(X, w(x)\mathrm{vol}_X),\ L^2(X, w(x)^{-1}\mathrm{vol}_X)\big),$$

has a meromorphic continuation to a function in λ *that is* $z\log z$*- Hahn meromorphic near zero for the group* $\mathbb{Z}^2$*.*

When n is odd, then from Example 7.3 we conclude that $\Gamma = \mathbb{Z} \times \{0\}$. In this case the theorem follows from the usual meromorphic Fredholm theorem.

Remark 7.13. *Let* X *be a Riemannian manifold with an end isometric to*

$$(Z = [1, \infty) \times N, dx^2 + x^{-2a} g^N), a > 0$$

for some closed Riemannian manifold (N, g^N)*. The spectral theory of the Laplace operator on* X *is examined in detail in* [4]*. There the authors show that the spectral decomposition of the Laplace operator on differential forms on* Z *can also be described with the Weber transform. The same arguments as in section 7.1, together with the proof of Theorem 7.11 then implies that the resolvent of the Laplace operator on* X *is* $z\log z$*-Hahn meromorphic near zero.*

8. Appendix

In this appendix we compute the upper bounds for the Hahn-series coefficients of $G_\nu(\lambda, x)$ and $\frac{H_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda y)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda)}$ as given in Lemma 7.5. To that end we assume that the non-integral orders ν are *suitable* in the sense of Definition 7.8. We emphasize that the resulting bounds are sufficient to prove Proposition 7.6, but certainly can be improved with more effort.

Recall

$$J_\nu(z) = \left(\frac{z}{2}\right)^\nu h_\nu(z), \qquad Y_\nu = \frac{(\cos\nu\pi) J_\nu - J_{-\nu}}{\sin\nu\pi},$$
$$H_\nu^{(1)}(z) = J_\nu(z) + iY_\nu(z), \qquad H_\nu^{(1)}(z) = J_\nu(z) - iY_\nu(z)$$

where

$$h_\nu(z) := \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} a_m^{(\nu)} z^{2m} \quad \text{with} \quad a_m^{(\nu)} = \frac{(-1)^m}{4^m\, m!\,\Gamma(m+\nu+1)} \tag{27}$$

is an entire function. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ define $Y_n := \lim_{\nu\to n} Y_\nu$.

8.1. **Coefficients of** G**.** First, let $\nu \notin \mathbb{N}_0$.

$$\begin{aligned} G_\nu(\lambda, r) &= Y_\nu(\lambda) J_\nu(\lambda r) - J_\nu(\lambda) Y_\nu(\lambda r) \\ &= \frac{1}{\sin\nu\pi}\big(r^{-\nu} h_\nu(\lambda) h_{-\nu}(\lambda r) - r^\nu h_{-\nu}(\lambda) h_\nu(\lambda r)\big) = \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} g_\ell^{(\nu)}(r)\lambda^{2\ell} \end{aligned}$$

with

$$g_\ell^{(\nu)}(r) := \frac{1}{\sin\nu\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} a_k^{(\nu)} a_{\ell-k}^{(-\nu)} \left[r^{2(\ell-k)-\nu} - r^{\nu+2k}\right].$$

For $\nu = n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ we have to take the limit $\nu \to n$.

Lemma 8.1. *For some $\rho > 0$ and all $\nu > 0, r \geq 1$,*

$$|g_\ell^{(\nu)}(r)| \leq \frac{\rho}{\nu} \frac{r^{\nu+2\ell}}{2^\ell \ell!} \leq \frac{\rho}{\nu} \cdot r^\nu \exp\left(\tfrac{r^2}{2}\right). \tag{28}$$

Proof. We only give the main arguments. Choose $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$ such that $\nu \in (n - \frac{1}{2}, n + \frac{1}{2}]$. Consider only $n, \ell > 0$, the special cases $n, \ell = 0$ can be treated in a similar way.
We will often use that for $f \in C^1((0,\infty))$,

$$\left| \frac{f(\nu) - f(n)}{\sin \nu\pi} \right| \leq \frac{1}{2} \sup\{|f'(\xi)| : \xi \in (n - 1/2, n + 1/2]\}. \tag{29}$$

If $\nu > \ell + \frac{1}{2}$ (i.e. $n > \ell$), then from $\Gamma(x)\Gamma(1-x) = \pi/\sin(x\pi)$:

$$g_\ell(r) = \frac{1}{\pi \cdot 4^\ell} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \frac{(-1)^k}{k!(\ell-k)!} \frac{\Gamma(k - \ell + \nu)}{\Gamma(\nu + k + 1)} \big[r^{2(\ell-k)-\nu} - r^{\nu+2k}\big], \qquad 0 \leq \ell < \nu$$

$$|g_\ell(r)| \leq 2r^{\nu+2\ell} \frac{1}{\pi \cdot 4^\ell} \frac{\Gamma(\nu - \ell)}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \frac{1}{k!(\ell-k)!} = 2r^{\nu+2\ell} \frac{1}{\pi \cdot 2^\ell} \frac{\Gamma(\nu-\ell)}{\ell!\Gamma(\nu+1)}$$

Now we consider $\nu \leq \ell + \frac{1}{2}$, i.e. $n \leq \ell$.
Let $\tau_\nu(k) = \ell - \nu - k$.

$$\begin{aligned}
g_\ell(r) = &\sum_{k=\ell-n+1}^{\ell} a_k^{(\nu)} \frac{a_{\ell-k}^{(-\nu)}}{\sin \nu\pi} \big[r^{\nu+2\tau_\nu(k)} - r^{\nu+2k}\big] &\text{(30a)}\\
&+ \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-n} \frac{a_k^{(\nu)} a_{\ell-k}^{(-\nu)} - a_k^{(n)} a_{\ell-k}^{(-n)}}{\sin \nu\pi} \big[r^{\nu+2\tau_\nu(k)} - r^{\nu+2k}\big] &\text{(30b)}\\
&+ \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-n} a_k^{(n)} a_{\ell-k}^{(-n)} \Big(\frac{r^{\nu+2\tau_\nu(k)} - r^{n+2\tau_n(k)}}{\sin \nu\pi} - \frac{r^{\nu+2k} - r^{n+2k}}{\sin \nu\pi}\Big) &\text{(30c)}\\
&+ \frac{1}{\sin \nu\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-n} a_k^{(n)} a_{\ell-k}^{(-n)} \big(r^{n+2\tau_n(k)} - r^{n+2k}\big) &\text{(30d)}
\end{aligned}$$

- From

$$a_k^{(-n)} = 0, \quad 0 \leq k \leq n, \qquad a_{n+\ell}^{(-n)} = (-1/4)^n a_\ell^{(n)}, \quad \ell \geq 0. \tag{31}$$

  we get $a_k^{(n)} a_{\ell-k}^{(-n)} = a_{\tau_n(k)}^{(n)} a_{\tau_n(\ell-k)}^{(-n)}$, which shows (30d) $= 0$.

- Next we want to estimate (30c). Again using (31)

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{k=0}^{\ell-n}|a_k^{(n)}a_{\ell-k}^{(-n)}| &= \sum_{k=n}^{\ell}|a_{\ell-k}^{(n)}a_k^{(-n)}| = \sum_{k=n}^{\ell}4^{-n}|a_{\ell-k}^{(n)}a_{k-n}^{(n)}|\\ &= 4^{-\ell}\sum_{k=n}^{\ell}\frac{1}{(\ell-k)!(k-n)!(n+\ell-k)!k!}\\ &\leq \frac{4^{-\ell}}{n!}\sum_{k=n}^{\ell}\frac{1}{(\ell-k)!k!} \leq \frac{4^{-\ell}}{n!}\sum_{k=0}^{\ell}\frac{1}{(\ell-k)!k!} = \frac{2^{-\ell}}{n!\ell!}\end{aligned}$$

  Using (29) and $r \geq 1$,

$$|(30c)| \leq \frac{1}{2}(\log r)\sqrt{r}\sum_{k=0}^{\ell-n}|a_k^{(n)}a_{\ell-k}^{(-n)}|\left(r^{2(\ell-k)-n}+r^{2k+n}\right) \leq (\log r)\sqrt{r}\cdot\frac{r^{2\ell-n}}{n!\ell!2^\ell}$$

- We note that for the polygamma function ψ satisfies $\left|\frac{\psi(x)}{\Gamma(x)}\right| < \frac{3}{2}$ for all $x \geq 0$, and that $\Gamma(x)$ is monotonously increasing for $x \geq \frac{3}{2}$. Thus for some constant $c > 0$,

$$\left|\frac{d}{d\nu}a_k^{(\nu)}a_{\ell-k}^{(-\nu)}\right| = \frac{1}{4^\ell}\frac{|\psi(1+\ell-k-\nu)-\psi(1+k+\nu)|}{(\ell-k)!k!\Gamma(1+\ell-k-\nu)\Gamma(1+k+\nu)} \leq \frac{c}{4^\ell(\ell-k)!k!}.$$

  Let

$$\mathsf{m} := \max\{\nu+2\tau_\nu(k), \nu+2k \mid 0 \leq k \leq \ell-n\} \leq 2\ell-n+\tfrac{1}{2}$$

  Now using (29) and $n \geq 1$:

$$|(30b)| \leq 2r^{\mathsf{m}}\sum_{k=0}^{\ell-n}\left|\frac{a_k^{(\nu)}a_{\ell-k}^{(-\nu)}-a_k^{(n)}a_{\ell-k}^{(-n)}}{\sin\nu\pi}\right| \leq r^{\mathsf{m}}\frac{c}{2^\ell\ell!}$$

- There exists $\tilde{c} > 0$ such that for $k = \ell-n+1,\ldots,\ell$

$$\begin{aligned}|a_k^{(\nu)}|\left|\frac{a_{\ell-k}^{(-\nu)}}{\sin\nu\pi}\right| &= \frac{4^{-\ell}\Gamma(\nu+k-\ell)}{\pi(\ell-k)!k!\Gamma(\nu+k+1)} \leq \frac{4^{-\ell}\Gamma(\nu-n+1)}{\pi k!(\ell-k)!\Gamma(\nu-n+\ell+1)}\\ &= \frac{4^{-\ell}}{\pi k!(\ell-k)!}\cdot\frac{1}{\prod_{j=1}^{\ell}(\nu-n+j)} \leq \frac{\tilde{c}\cdot 4^{-\ell}}{k!(\ell-k)!(\ell-1)!}\end{aligned}$$

  which gives

$$\begin{aligned}|(30a)| &\leq \sum_{k=\ell-n+1}^{\ell}|a_k^{(\nu)}|\left|\frac{a_{\ell-k}^{(-\nu)}}{\sin\nu\pi}\right|\left|r^{\nu+2\tau_\nu(k)}-r^{\nu+2k}\right|\\ &\leq r^{\nu+2\ell}\frac{\tilde{c}}{4^\ell(\ell-1)!}\sum_{k=\ell-n+1}^{\ell}\frac{1}{k!(\ell-k)!} \leq r^{\nu+2\ell}\frac{\tilde{c}}{2^\ell\ell!(n-1)!},\end{aligned}$$

  where we also used $\ell \geq n$.

Now for $r \geq 1$,

$$\log r \leq \frac{2}{e}\sqrt{r}. \tag{32}$$

Together with $\frac{5}{2}(n-1)! \geq \nu$ this proves the Lemma. □

8.2. **Coefficients of H.**

8.2.1. *Irrational ν.* Let $\nu > 0$ be irrational. Recall that for $\nu \notin \mathbb{Z}$

$$\frac{H_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda r)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda)} = \frac{e^{-i\nu\pi}J_\nu(\lambda r) - J_{-\nu}(\lambda r)}{e^{-i\nu\pi}J_\nu(\lambda) - J_{-\nu}(\lambda)} = r^{-\nu}\frac{e^{-i\nu\pi}(\frac{\lambda r}{2})^{2\nu}h_\nu(\lambda r) - h_{-\nu}(\lambda r)}{e^{-i\nu\pi}(\frac{\lambda}{2})^{2\nu}h_\nu(\lambda) - h_{-\nu}(\lambda)} \tag{33}$$

with h_ν as in (27). Thus nominator and denominator of the right hand side in (33) are Hahn holomorphic functions with support in $2\mathbb{N}_0 + 2\nu\mathbb{N}_0$.

From the Neumann series expansion of (33) one obtains

$$\frac{H_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda r)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda)} = r^{-\nu} \sum_{g \in 2\mathbb{N}_0 + 2\nu\mathbb{N}_0} \gamma_g^{(\nu)}(r)\lambda^g \tag{34}$$

Clearly the map

$$\sigma : \mathbb{N}_0 \times \mathbb{N}_0 \to 2\mathbb{N}_0 + 2\nu\mathbb{N}_0, \qquad (a,b) \mapsto 2a + 2\nu b$$

is bijective. Multiplying (34) with the denominator in (33) and comparing with the nominator in (33) leads to the following recursion formulas for $\gamma_g(r)$ (we omit the ν-dependence in the notation)

$$\gamma_{\sigma(0,0)}(r) = 1 \tag{35a}$$

$$\gamma_{\sigma(\ell,0)}(r) = \tilde{b}_\ell r^{2\ell} - \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} \gamma_{\sigma(k,0)}(r)\tilde{b}_{\ell-k}, \quad \ell \geq 1 \tag{35b}$$

$$\gamma_{\sigma(0,s)}(r) = (-1)^{s-1}(r^{2\nu} - 1) \cdot \tilde{a}_0^s, \quad s \geq 1 \tag{35c}$$

$$\gamma_{\sigma(\ell,1)}(r) = \tilde{a}_\ell r^{2\ell+2\nu} - \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \gamma_{\sigma(k,0)}(r)\tilde{a}_{\ell-k} - \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} \gamma_{\sigma(k,1)}(r)\tilde{b}_{\ell-k}, \quad \ell \in \mathbb{N}. \tag{35d}$$

$$\gamma_{\sigma(\ell,s)}(r) = -\sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} \gamma_{\sigma(k,s)}(r)\tilde{b}_{\ell-k} - \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \gamma_{\sigma(k,s-1)}(r)\tilde{a}_{\ell-k}, \quad \ell \geq 1, s \geq 2 \tag{35e}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{a}_k &= \frac{4^{-\nu}e^{-i\nu\pi}a_k^{(\nu)}}{-a_0^{(-\nu)}} = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}e^{-i\nu\pi}\Gamma(1-\nu)}{4^{\nu+k}k!\,\Gamma(k+1+\nu)}, \\ \tilde{b}_k &= \frac{a_k^{(-\nu)}}{a_0^{(-\nu)}} = \frac{(-1)^{k+1}\Gamma(1-\nu)}{4^k k!\,\Gamma(k+1-\nu)}. \end{aligned} \tag{36}$$

8.2.2. *Rational ν.* Let $\nu = \frac{p}{q}$, $p, q \in \mathbb{N}$, $q \geq 2$, $(p, q) = 1$. Equation (33) still holds and both nominator and denominator of the right hand side are holomorphic functions in $\tilde{\lambda} := \lambda^{\frac{2}{q}}$. The support of the denominator as a Hahn series in $\tilde{\lambda}$ is contained in $(p + \mathbb{N}_0 q) \cup \mathbb{N}_0 q$. Let S be the support of the quotient, which is a holomorphic function in $\tilde{\lambda}$. From the Neumann-series expansion one obtains that S is contained in

$$\mathcal{S} := \{n \in \mathbb{N}_0 \mid \exists \alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{N}_0 : \alpha p + \beta q = n\}$$

It is easy to see that the map

$$\sigma : \mathbb{N}_0 \times \{0, \dots, q-1\} \to \mathcal{S}, \qquad \sigma(\ell, s) = sp + \ell q$$

is bijective. Hence

$$\frac{H_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda r)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda)} = r^{-\nu} \sum_{\ell=0}^{\infty} \sum_{s=0}^{q-1} \gamma_{sp+\ell q}(r) \tilde{\lambda}^{sp+\ell q} \tag{37}$$

This leads to the following equations for the coefficients γ:

$$\hat{b}_\ell r^{2\ell} = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-p} \hat{a}_{\ell-p-k} \gamma_{\sigma(k,q-1)}(r) + \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \hat{b}_{\ell-k} \gamma_{\sigma(k,0)}(r) \tag{38a}$$

$$\hat{a}_\ell r^{2\ell+\frac{2p}{q}} = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \hat{a}_{\ell-k} \gamma_{\sigma(k,0)}(r) + \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \hat{b}_{\ell-k} \gamma_{\sigma(k,1)}(r) \tag{38b}$$

$$0 = \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \hat{a}_{\ell-k} \gamma_{\sigma(k,s-1)}(r) + \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \hat{b}_{\ell-k} \gamma_{\sigma(k,s)}(r), \quad 2 \leq s \leq q-1. \tag{38c}$$

where

$$\hat{a}_k = 4^{-\nu} e^{-i\nu\pi} a_k^{(\nu)}, \quad \hat{b}_k = -a_k^{(-\nu)}.$$

In (38a) the first sum is meant to be 0 for $\ell < p$.

Then for $m = 0, 1, 2, \dots$

- Equation (38a) determines $\gamma_{\sigma(\ell,0)}$ for $\ell = mp, mp+1, \dots (m+1)p - 1$.
- Equation (38b) determines $\gamma_{\sigma(\ell,1)}$ for $\ell = mp, mp+1, \dots (m+1)p - 1$.
- Equation (38c) determines $\gamma_{\sigma(\ell,s)}$ for $\ell = mp, mp+1, \dots (m+1)p - 1$ where $s = 2, 3, \dots, q-1$.

8.2.3. *Bounds for the coefficients for non-integral ν.* Recall the notion of "suitable" ν from Definition 7.8. We will also use

Lemma 8.2. *There exists a constant C with $0 < C < 1$ such that for all $\nu > 0$ and $r \geq 1$,*

$$\frac{r^\nu}{\Gamma(\nu+1)} < C \exp(r). \tag{39}$$

Proof. From Stirling's formula we obtain

$$r^{-\nu} e^r \Gamma(\nu+1) \geq \sqrt{2\pi(\nu+1)} e^{r-1} \left(\frac{\nu+1}{er}\right)^\nu =: f(\nu, r)$$

For $r \geq e^{-1/2}$ the function $r \mapsto f(\nu, r)$ has a global minimum in $r_m(\nu) := (\nu+1)e^{-\frac{1}{2+2\nu}}$ and $\nu \mapsto f(\nu, r_m(\nu))$ is monotonously increasing for $\nu \geq 0$. Set $C := 1/f(0, r_m(0)) \approx 0.591$. ☐

Lemma 8.3. *There exists $c > 0$ such that for all suitable ν the coefficients in (34) and (37) are bounded by*

$$|\gamma_g^{(\nu)}(r)| \leq c \exp\left(\tfrac{r^2}{2}\right), \qquad r \geq 1. \tag{40}$$

Proof. We use the recursion formulas for γ_* to prove the statement by induction.

First we consider irrational ν. We assume that the inequalities (20b), (20c) hold for some constants $d, \tilde{d}$ and then choose these constants as needed.

Clearly $\gamma_0(r) = 1$ satisfies (40) and for $\ell > 0$,

$$\begin{aligned}|\gamma_{\sigma(\ell,0)}(r)| &\leq |\tilde{b}_\ell| r^{2\ell} + \sum_{k=}^{\ell-1} |\gamma_{\sigma(k,0)}(r)| \cdot |\tilde{b}_{\ell-k}| \\ &\leq \frac{d}{4^\ell \ell!} r^{2\ell} + c \exp\left(\tfrac{r^2}{2}\right) \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{d}{4^\ell \ell!} \leq \exp\left(\tfrac{r^2}{2}\right)(d \cdot 2^{-\ell} + cd(e^{1/4} - 1))\end{aligned}$$

If we can choose $d < \frac{1}{2}(e^{1/4}-1)^{-1} \approx 1.76$, then for $c > d$ we have

$$c(1 - d(e^{1/4} - 1)) > \frac{c}{2} > \frac{d}{2} \geq d \cdot 2^{-\ell}$$

and therefore $|\gamma_{\sigma(\ell,0)}(r)| < c \exp\left(\tfrac{r^2}{2}\right)$.

For $r \geq 1$

$$\begin{aligned}|\gamma_{2s\nu}(r)| &\leq 2|\tilde{a}_0|^s r^{2\nu} = 2\left(\frac{|\Gamma(1-\nu)|}{4^\nu \Gamma(1+\nu)}\right)^s r^{2\nu} = 2\left(\frac{\pi}{4^\nu |\sin \nu\pi| \nu \cdot \Gamma(\nu)^2}\right)^s r^{2\nu} \\ &\leq \left\{\frac{2\pi}{2^\nu |\sin \nu\pi| \Gamma(\nu)}\right\} \frac{r^{2\nu}}{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu+1)}\end{aligned}$$

For suitable ν, the expression $\{\dots\} \leq 1$, and $\frac{r^{2\nu}}{2^\nu \Gamma(\nu+1)} < \exp\left(\tfrac{r^2}{2}\right)$ because of (39).

From (35d)

$$\begin{aligned}|\gamma_{\sigma(\ell,1)}(r)| &\leq |\tilde{a}_\ell| r^{2\ell+2\nu} + \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} |\gamma_{\sigma(k,0)}(r)| \cdot |\tilde{a}_{\ell-k}| + \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} |\gamma_{\sigma(k,1)}(r)| \cdot |\tilde{b}_{\ell-k}| \\ &\leq \frac{\tilde{d} \cdot r^{2\ell+2\nu}}{4^{\nu+\ell} \ell! \Gamma(\nu+1)} + c \exp\left(\tfrac{r^2}{2}\right) \left(\sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \frac{\tilde{d}}{4^{\nu+k} k! \Gamma(\nu+1)} + \sum_{k=1}^{\ell} \frac{d}{4^k k!}\right) \\ &\leq \exp\left(\tfrac{r^2}{2}\right) \left[\tilde{d} + \frac{c\tilde{d} \cdot e^{1/4}}{4^\nu \Gamma(\nu+1)} + cd(e^{1/4} - 1)\right]\end{aligned} \tag{41}$$

If we can choose d as before and $\tilde d < \frac{1}{2}\frac{4^\nu\Gamma(\nu+1)}{e^{1/4}}$ for all ν under consideration, and choose

$$c > \tilde d\Big(1 - \frac{\tilde d \cdot e^{1/4}}{4^\nu\Gamma(\nu+1)} - d(e^{1/4}-1)\Big)^{-1},$$

then the expression $[\ldots]$ in (41) is bounded by $c\exp\left(\frac{r^2}{2}\right)$.

Finally from (35e), with the same choice of $d, \tilde d$:

$$\begin{aligned}|\gamma_{\sigma(\ell,q)}(r)| &\le \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1}|\gamma_{\sigma(k,q)}(r)|\cdot|\tilde b_{\ell-k}| + \sum_{k=0}^{\ell}|\gamma_{\sigma(k,q-1)}(r)|\cdot|\tilde a_{\ell-k}|\\ &\le c\exp\left(\tfrac{r^2}{2}\right)\Big[d(e^{1/4}-1) + \frac{\tilde d e^{1/4}}{4^\nu\Gamma(\nu+1)}\Big] < c\exp\left(\tfrac{r^2}{2}\right)\end{aligned}$$

Next consider rational ν. The equations (38a)–(38c) lead formally to the same recursion formulas (35a)–(35e) for γ_*, with two differences: For $\ell \ge p$ equation (38a) gives

$$\gamma_{\sigma(\ell,0)}(r) = \tilde b_\ell r^{2\ell} - \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1}\gamma_{\sigma(k,0)}(r)\tilde b_{\ell-k} - \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-p}\gamma_{\sigma(k,q-1)}(r)\tilde a_{\ell-k-p} \tag{42}$$

instead of (35b), and in (35e) the index s of $\gamma_{\sigma(\ell,s)}$ lies in the range $2 \le s \le q-1$.

As before

$$\begin{aligned}|\gamma_{\sigma(s,0)}(r)| &\le |\tilde b_s|r^{2s} + \sum_{k=0}^{s-1}|\gamma_{\sigma(k,0)}(r)||\tilde b_{s-k}| + \sum_{k=0}^{s-p}|\gamma_{\sigma(k,q-1)}(r)||\tilde a_{s-k-p}|\\ &\le \exp\left(\tfrac{r^2}{2}\right)\Big(d\cdot 2^{-s} + cd(e^{1/4}-1) + \frac{c\tilde d\cdot e^{1/4}}{4^\nu\Gamma(\nu+1)}\Big)\end{aligned}$$

which is $< c\exp\left(\frac{r^2}{2}\right)$ if we take $d, \tilde d$ to be half the values as before. □

8.2.4. *Integral* ν. For integral $\nu = n \in \mathbb{N}$ we compute the limit $\nu \to n$ in (33), which leads to logarithmic terms. Arguing as before, we now obtain that the Hahn-series is

$$\frac{H_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda x)}{H_\nu^{(1)}(\lambda)} = x^{-n}\sum_{p=0}^{\infty}\sum_{s=0}^{\infty}\gamma_{(s,p)}^{(n)}(x)e_{(2pn+2s,-p)}(\lambda)$$

where $e_{(a,b)}(\lambda) = \lambda^a(-\log\lambda)^{-b}$. The coefficients are determined by

$$\gamma_{(0,0)}(x) = 1$$

$$\gamma_{(\ell,0)}(x) = \alpha_\ell e_{(2\ell,-1)} + \beta_\ell x^{2\ell} - \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} \beta_{\ell-k}\gamma_{(k,0)}, \quad \ell \geq 1 \tag{43a}$$

$$\gamma_{(\ell,1)}(x) = \alpha_{n+\ell}x^{2(n+\ell)} - \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \alpha_{\ell+n-k}\gamma_{(k,0)}(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} \beta_{\ell-k}\gamma_{(k,1)}(x) \tag{43b}$$

$$\gamma_{(0,p)}(x) = (-1)^{p-1}\alpha_n^p x^{2n}, \quad p \geq 1 \tag{43c}$$

$$\gamma_{(\ell,p)}(x) = -\sum_{k=0}^{\ell} \alpha_{\ell+n-k}\gamma_{(k,p-1)}(x) - \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} \beta_{\ell-k}\gamma_{(k,p)}(x), \quad p \geq 2, \ell \geq 1 \tag{43d}$$

where

$$\alpha_k = \begin{cases} 0, & 0 \leq k < n \\ \frac{2\cdot(-1)^k}{4^k k!(k-n)!(n-1)!}, & k \geq n \end{cases},$$

$$\beta_k = \begin{cases} \frac{(-1)^n(n-k-1)!}{4^k k!(n-1)!}, & k < n \\ \frac{(-1)^k}{4^k(k-n)!k!(n-1)!}\big(i\pi + \log 4 + \psi(k+1) + \psi(k-n+1)\big), & k \geq n. \end{cases}$$

with the Polygamma function $\psi(z) = \Gamma'(z)/\Gamma(z)$.

Lemma 8.4.

- *For some constant d,*

$$|\beta_k| \leq \frac{d}{4^k k!}, \qquad |\alpha_k| \leq \frac{2}{4^k k!(n-1)!},$$

- *For all $n \geq 1$,*

$$\sigma(n) := \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} |\beta_k| < 1.$$

Proof. The second inequality, and the first inequality for $k < n$ are obvious. For $k = j+n$, $j \geq 0$,

$$|\beta_k| \leq \frac{\epsilon(n,j)}{4^{n+j}(n+j)!}, \quad \epsilon(n,j) := \frac{|i\pi + \log 4| + \psi(n+j+1) + \psi(j+1)}{j!(n-1)!}.$$

From $\psi(m) \leq \log(m) - \frac{1}{2m}$ one sees that $s := \sup_{n,j}\epsilon(n,j) < \infty$ and thus d exists; numerically we obtain $s < 5.11277$.

The second statement can be seen as follows. For $n \geq 2$,

$$\sigma(n) \leq \sum_{k=1}^{n-1} \frac{(n-k-1)!}{4^k k!(n-1)!} + \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \frac{\epsilon(n,j)}{4^{n+j}(n+j)!} \leq \frac{1}{n-1}(e^{1/4} - 1) + \frac{s\cdot e^{1/4}}{4^n n!}.$$

The right hand side is decreasing in n and less than 1 for $n \geq 2$. Direct computations for small n give

$$\sigma(1) < 0.980052, \qquad \sigma(2) < 0.373944, \qquad \sigma(3) < 0.145903. \qquad \square$$

It is sufficient to consider $n \geq 2$, because there can be only finitely many ν with $\nu < 2$:

Lemma 8.5. *There exists $c > 0$ such that for all $n \geq 2$, $\ell, q \geq 0$ and $x \geq 1$*

$$|\gamma^{(n)}_{(\ell,q)}(x)| \leq c\sqrt{x}\exp(\tfrac{x^2}{2}) \tag{44}$$

Proof. Always let $x \geq 1$. The statement (44) holds for $\gamma_{(0,0)}$. For $\ell \geq 1$, choose

$$c \geq \frac{\frac{1}{2}(d + \frac{4}{e})}{1 - \sigma(2)} \geq \frac{2^{-\ell}(d + \frac{4}{e(n-1)!})}{1 - \sigma(n)},$$

then using (32)

$$\begin{aligned}
|\gamma_{(\ell,0)}(x)| &\leq |\alpha_\ell|\, |e_{(2\ell,-1)}(x)| + |\beta_\ell|\, x^{2\ell} + \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} |\gamma_{(k,0)}|\, |\beta_{\ell-k}| \\
&\leq \frac{2}{4^\ell \ell!(n-1)!}\frac{2}{e}x^{2\ell+\frac{1}{2}} + \frac{d}{4^\ell \ell!}x^{2\ell} + c\sqrt{x}\exp(x^2/2)\sum_{k=1}^{\ell}|\beta_k| \\
&\leq \frac{1}{2^\ell}\Big(\frac{4}{e(n-1)!} + d\Big)\sqrt{x}\frac{x^{2\ell}}{2^\ell \ell!} + c\sigma(n)\sqrt{x}\exp(x^2/2) \\
&\leq c\sqrt{x}\exp(x^2/2).
\end{aligned}$$

Next, we estimate $\gamma_{(0,p)}(x), p \geq 1$ by

$$|\gamma_{(0,p)}(x)| = \left(\frac{2}{4^n n!(n-1)!}\right)^p x^{2n} \leq \frac{2}{2^n(n-1)!}\frac{x^{2n}}{2^n n!} \leq \frac{2^{1-n}}{(n-1)!}\exp(x^2/2).$$

Now for $\gamma_{(\ell,1)}, \ell \geq 1$ we use the exact formulas for α_k:

$$\begin{aligned}
|\gamma_{(\ell,1)}(x)| &\leq |\alpha_{n+\ell}| x^{2(n+\ell)} + \sum_{k=0}^{\ell} |\alpha_{\ell+n-k}||\gamma_{(k,0)}(x)| + \sum_{k=0}^{\ell-1} |\beta_{\ell-k}||\gamma_{(k,1)}(x)| \\
&\leq \frac{2x^{2(n+\ell)}}{4^{\ell+n}(\ell+n)!\ell!(n-1)!} + c\sqrt{x}\exp(x^2/2)\sum_{k=n}^{n+\ell}\frac{2}{4^k k!(n-k)!(n-1)!} \\
&\quad + c\sqrt{x}\exp(\tfrac{x^2}{2})\sigma(n) \\
&\leq \sqrt{x}\exp(\tfrac{x^2}{2})\Big(\frac{2}{2^{\ell+n}\ell!(n-1)!} + \frac{2c}{4^n n!}\sum_{k=0}^{\ell}\frac{1}{4^k k!} + c\cdot\sigma(n)\Big) \\
&\leq \sqrt{x}\exp(\tfrac{x^2}{2})\Big(\frac{1}{4} + \frac{c}{16}e^{1/4} + c\cdot\sigma(2)\Big) \overset{!}{\leq} c\sqrt{x}\exp(\tfrac{x^2}{2})
\end{aligned}$$

The last inequality holds, provided $c \geq (4 - \frac{e^{1/4}}{4} - 4\sigma(2))^{-1} \approx 0.46$.

Finally from (43d) for $p \geq 2, \ell \geq 1$

$$
\begin{aligned}
|\gamma_{(\ell,p)}(x)| &\leq c\sqrt{x}\exp(\tfrac{x^2}{2})\Big(\sum_{k=n}^{\ell+n}\frac{2}{4^k k!(k-n)!(n-1)!}+\sigma(n)\Big)\\
&\leq c\sqrt{x}\exp(\tfrac{x^2}{2})\Big(\frac{2}{4^n n!(n-1)!}e^{1/4}+\sigma(2)\Big) \leq c\sqrt{x}\exp(\tfrac{x^2}{2}).
\end{aligned}
$$

□

REFERENCES

[1] J. B. Gil; T. Krainer and G. A. Mendoza, *Dynamics on Grassmannians and resolvents of cone operators*. Anal. PDE 4 (2011), no. 1, 115–148

[2] C. Guillarmou and A. Hassell, *Resolvent at low energy and Riesz transform for Schrödinger operators on asymptotically conic manifolds. II*, Annales de l'institut Fourier, 59 (2009) no.4, 1553–1610.

[3] H. Hahn, *Über die nicht-archimedischen Größensysteme*, reprinted in: *Collected works Vol. 1*, Springer-Verlag 1995

[4] E. Hunsicker, N. Roidos, A. Strohmaier, *Scattering theory of the p-form Laplacian on manifolds with generalized cusps*, `arXiv:1106.3032 [math.SP]`

[5] A. Ikeda and Y. Taniguchi, *Spectra and eigenforms of the Laplacian on S^n and $P^n(\mathbb{C})$*. Osaka J. Math. 15 (1978), no. 3, 515–546.

[6] A. Jensen and T. Kato, *Spectral properties of Schrödinger operators and time-decay of the wave functions*, Duke Math. J.,46 (1979) no 3, 583–611.

[7] A. Jensen and G. Nenciu, *A unified approach to resolvent expansions at thresholds*, Rev. Math. Phys. 13 (2001), 717-754.

[8] T. Kato, *Perturbation Theory of Linear Operators*, Springer, 1995.

[9] M. Murata, Asymptotic expansions in time for solutions of Schrödinger-type equations, Journal of Functional Analysis, 49 (1982), Issue 1, 10–56.

[10] M. Reed and B. Simon, *Methods of modern mathematical physics. I*, Academic Press 1980

[11] D. Passman, *The Algebraic Structure of Group Rings*, John Wiley &. Sons, 1977.

[12] P. Ribenboim, *Fields: Algebraically Closed and Others.* Manuscripta Mathematica, 75 (1992), 115–150.

[13] M. A. Shubin, *Pseudodifferential operators and spectral theory.* Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 2001

[14] Digital Library of Mathematical Functions 2011-08-29. National Institute of Standards and Technology from `http://dlmf.nist.gov/10`

HUMBOLDT-UNIVERSITÄT ZU BERLIN, UNTER DEN LINDEN 6, INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, D - 10099 BERLIN, GERMANY

E-mail address: `jmueller@math.hu-berlin.de`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICAL SCIENCES, LOUGHBOROUGH UNIVERSITY, LOUGHBOROUGH, LEICESTERSHIRE, LE11 3TU, UK

E-mail address: `a.strohmaier@lboro.ac.uk`